

Talent Match Evaluation: Technical Appendix Report





Talent Match Evaluation: Technical Appendix Report

Authors

Chris Damm
Sarah Pearson
Elizabeth Sanderson
Peter Wells
Ian Wilson

July 2020

DOI: 10.7190/cresr.2020.7420650207

Acknowledgements

We are extremely grateful to all those who have helped in the course of the evaluation. We are particularly grateful to the staff, young people and board members of the 21 Talent Match partnerships who have given their time freely to support the evaluation. A mention should be made of partnership leads and those involved in setting up the Common Data Framework (CDF). We trust that in time the considerable benefits of the CDF will be seen in terms of contributing to a robust evidence base on which to design future policies and programmes.

A wide range of staff and committee members at The National Lottery Community Fund have helped, supported and advised upon the evaluation. Their time has been invaluable. We are particularly grateful to Jolanta Astle, Sarah Cheshire, James Godsal, Scott Hignett, Scott Hyland and Roger Winhall. We are also grateful to former National Lottery Community Fund colleagues Matt Poole, Linzi Cooke and Scott Greenhalgh who provided invaluable assistance at the start of the Talent Match Evaluation.

Lastly, we would like to thank the evaluation team at Sheffield Hallam University, the University of Birmingham, the University of Warwick and Cambridge Economic Associates: Duncan Adam, Gaby Atfield, Dr Sally-Anne Barnes, Nadia Bashir, Dr Richard Crisp, Dr Chris Damm, Dr Maria de Hoyos, Dr Will Eadson, Professor Del Roy Fletcher, Dr Tony Gore, Professor Anne Green, David Leather, Elizabeth Sanderson, Emma Smith, Louise South, Professor Pete Tyler, Sarah Ward and Ian Wilson. We would also like to thank our former colleague Ryan Powell who supported the original evaluation design and engagement with all the partnerships.

Peter Wells (Evaluation Director) and Sarah Pearson (Evaluation Project Manager)

Contents

| 1. Ir | ntroduction | 1 |
|-------|---|----|
| 1.1. | The Talent Match programme | 1 |
| 1.2. | Purpose of this report | 1 |
| 2. E | valuation design | 2 |
| 2.1. | Aims and objectives | 2 |
| 2.2. | . An overarching framework | 2 |
| 3. T | he Common Data Framework (CDF) | 5 |
| 3.1. | An overview of the CDF | 5 |
| 3.2. | Recording and estimating outcomes | 8 |
| 3.3. | Proximity to the labour market | 9 |
| 3.4. | . Typology | 10 |
| 3.5. | Statistical modelling strategy | 11 |
| 3.6. | . Measuring Additionality: a matched Labour Force Survey (LFS) comparator | 11 |
| 3.7. | . Value of the costs and benefits of Talent Match | 14 |
| 4. P | artnership and delivery partner surveys | 19 |
| 4.1. | Lead partner survey | 19 |
| 4.2. | Delivery partner survey | 19 |
| 5. C | Qualitative research | 20 |
| 5.1. | . Annual partnership visits | 20 |
| 5.2. | Semi-structured interviews with Talent Match beneficiaries | 20 |
| 5.3. | Case study-based thematic research | 20 |
| 6. D | Definitions | 22 |
| Appe | ndix 1: Baseline CDF Questionnaire | 23 |
| Appe | ndix 2: Follow-up CDF Questionnaire | 32 |
| Appe | ndix 3: Lead partner surveys | 42 |
| Appe | ndix 4: Delivery partner surveys | 75 |

Introduction

1.1. The Talent Match programme

The National Lottery Community Fund (formerly the Big Lottery Fund) invested £108 million in Talent Match, an innovative programme designed to address the problem of high levels of unemployment amongst 18-24-year olds. It was delivered through voluntary and community sector led partnerships in 21 Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) areas in England and aimed to support those furthest from the labour market in their journey towards sustainable employment. The 21 Talent Match partnerships started working with beneficiaries in early 2014 and the programme ended in December 2018.

A key innovation of Talent Match was that it was co-designed and co-delivered with young people. This set Talent Match apart from previous youth employment initiatives and current government employment programmes.

1.2. Purpose of this report

This report is the technical appendix to the final reports of the evaluation of Talent Match. The evaluation has been carried out on behalf of the National Lottery Community Fund by the Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research (CRESR) at Sheffield Hallam University and partners at the University of Warwick, University of Birmingham and Cambridge Economic Associates.

The evaluation ran from 2013 to 2020.

Evaluation design

2.1. Aims and objectives

The overall requirements for the evaluation were as follows:

- To track the success of the programme, projects and interventions within it.
- To identify what worked well, and what did not, for whom and in what circumstances
- To share learning and improve practice (including amongst grant holders).

Key aspects of the evaluation for National Lottery Fund included:

- Estimates of the costs and benefits to society and the state of intervening with young people aged 18-24 years who have been out of education, employment or training for 12 months or more.
- An evaluation of whether the key principles in the programme delivery model have made a difference to its achievements.
- A better understanding of the kinds of approaches that worked well, with whom and why, at different stages of the young person's journey toward and into sustained employment.
- A better understanding of how those approaches can be integrated into future employment support for young people.

2.2. An overarching framework

The over-riding aim of the research was to provide a robust assessment of what works in assisting unemployed young people into sustainable employment and to disseminate this knowledge and evidence more widely.

A framework for assessing impact and value for money (VFM)

A central part of the evaluation was providing an assessment of the impact and value for money (VFM) of the Talent Match programme. This assessment was informed by the Talent Match logic model (Figure 2.2) and been based on an impact and value for money framework (Figure 2.1). The framework includes reporting inputs, outputs, outcomes and net additional impacts for the programme, as well as calculating its cost efficiency and cost effectiveness.

Efficiency? Effectiveness? cost per output: are Effectiveness of **Outputs** Outcomes Inputs outputs produced outputs efficiently? delivering outcomes? What have the inputs produced/achieved? Financial and staffing Number of additional outcomes achieved? e.g. number of young people gaining employment/self inputs required? e.g. young people supported or types of support provided Additionality: change over and above what's expected **Impacts Benefit Cost Ratio** Monetised Benefits: financial and social

Figure 2.1: A framework for assessing impact and value for money

The evaluation was multi-faceted, and involved the following elements which are discussed in the remainder of this report:

- A Common Data Framework (CDF) designed to collect standard monitoring data from all partnerships on all beneficiaries.
- Surveys of partnerships (lead partners) and delivery partners.
- An annual programme of visits to Talent Match partnerships involving face-to-face interviews with partnership leads, strategic partners, and delivery partners.
- Semi-structured interviews with Talent Match beneficiaries conducted across two waves.
- Case study-based research and evidence reviews around specific programme themes.
- Local labour market profiling and benchmarking.
- Appendices One to Four contain copies of the main quantitative research instruments: CDF baseline and follow-up survey questionnaires and lead partner and delivery partner survey questionnaires.

Figure 2.2: Talent Match logic model

Context

• High levels of hidden youth unemployment

Talent Match Aims and Objectives

- Reduce hidden youth unemployment
- Support young people to find fulfilling employment
- Engage and empower young people in the design and implementation of projects

Theory of Change

- The specific elements of individual projects and how they work together
- What is the rationale for a project and why will it succeed?

Inputs

 All financial and non-financial inputs which are required for the projects (NLCF's grant, other funding, volunteer time)

Activities/Processes

- Activities might include: engagement and outreach; advice and guidance; placements and ILMs
- Processes might include: development of new partnership structures; shifting the approach to engaging young people based on their capabilities

Outputs

- focus on clear measurable outputs which may include:
 anumbers
- •numbers supported
- •numbers of employers engaged
- new placements
- new apprenticeship opportunities
- engaged young people who were previously 'hidden'

Outcomes

- Numbers into sustained employment or selfemployment
- Numbers of regular volunteers
- Numbers reporting an improvement in wellbeing

Impact

- Focus here is on the 'net change', what would have happened without Talent Match
- change in employment or self-employment
- net change in wellbeing

The Common Data Framework (CDF)

3.1. An overview of the CDF

A Common Data Framework (CDF) was designed to collect standard monitoring data from all partnerships on all beneficiaries. The CDF formed a central part of the evaluation, collecting robust and reliable beneficiary level data across the programme. This beneficiary data allowed monitoring of who participated in Talent Match, what they did, what difference it made to them, and what impact it made on their labour market outcomes.

The CDF was designed in the form of an online questionnaire. Data was collected at a baseline stage (on entry to the programme) and then at three, six, 12, 18 and 24 months. The aim was to understand progress into employment but also to pick up issues of labour market progress, other factors (such as health, family circumstances or housing) and ultimately whether the labour market experience was 'fulfilling'.

Table 3.1 provides a breakdown of CDF responses received across the three, six, 12 and 18-month survey stages by Talent Match partnership. If a young person was unable to complete a questionnaire themselves then a short section at the start of the questionnaire was completed instead by their key worker. These are the responses classified as 'uncontactable' shown in the table.

The final survey stage was 24 months after first engagement. This stage of the survey was administered slightly differently to those at the other time points. The survey was administered by the evaluation team, rather than partnership staff, and Talent Match participants were asked to take part via a combination of invitations sent via SMS text message and email. The survey was a shorter version of the follow-up survey used at the earlier time points. Table 3.2 details responses received to this stage.

The CDF responses were weighted to take into account bias in the non-response as participants who achieved an employment outcome were overrepresented in the follow-up responses. The weights took into account a young person's proximity to the labour market at the baseline (see section 3.3. for more detail on the proximity to the labour market measure).

The baseline and follow-up survey questionnaires can be found in Appendices One and Two of this report.

Table 3.1: Summary of CDF responses (3,6,12 and 18-month)

| | | 3-mc | onth Follo | w-up | 6-m | onth Follo | w-up | 12-m | onth Follo | ow-up | 18-m | onth Follo | ow-up |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------------|----------------|
| Partnership | Base line | Overall | Full submis sion | Unconta ctable | Overall | Full submis sion | Unconta ctable | Overall | Full submis sion | Uncont actable | Overall | Full submis sion | Uncont actable |
| Black Country | 873 | 871 | 692 | 179 | 856 | 611 | 245 | 766 | 485 | 281 | 682 | 367 | 315 |
| Cornwall & Isles of Scilly | 630 | 560 | 352 | 208 | 485 | 308 | 177 | 376 | 231 | 145 | 287 | 162 | 125 |
| Coventry & Warwickshire | 508 | 490 | 379 | 111 | 461 | 329 | 132 | 392 | 240 | 152 | 320 | 172 | 148 |
| Derbyshire & Nottinghamshire | 1,023 | 1,023 | 652 | 371 | 1,022 | 569 | 453 | 981 | 437 | 544 | 898 | 272 | 626 |
| Greater Birmingham & Solihull | 834 | 726 | 436 | 290 | 636 | 380 | 256 | 539 | 319 | 220 | 453 | 202 | 251 |
| Greater Lincolnshire | 392 | 371 | 276 | 95 | 365 | 233 | 132 | 337 | 149 | 188 | 274 | 99 | 175 |
| Greater Manchester | 1,995 | 1,988 | 1,361 | 627 | 1,930 | 1,117 | 813 | 1,717 | 733 | 984 | 1,429 | 458 | 971 |
| Humber | 1,720 | 1,713 | 1,452 | 261 | 1,656 | 1,326 | 330 | 1,522 | 1,051 | 471 | 1,389 | 747 | 642 |
| Leeds City Region | 2,580 | 2,248 | 1,820 | 428 | 1,928 | 1,479 | 449 | 1,532 | 1,072 | 460 | 1,114 | 731 | 383 |
| Leicester & Leicestershire | 935 | 838 | 633 | 205 | 774 | 532 | 242 | 691 | 410 | 281 | 611 | 318 | 293 |
| Liverpool City Region | 1,680 | 1,624 | 1,044 | 580 | 1,569 | 759 | 810 | 1,426 | 478 | 948 | 1,198 | 318 | 880 |
| London | 2,541 | 2,482 | 1,702 | 780 | 2,392 | 1,415 | 977 | 2,144 | 1,049 | 1,095 | 1,762 | 774 | 988 |
| New Anglia | 1,140 | 856 | 619 | 237 | 697 | 452 | 245 | 585 | 329 | 256 | 454 | 246 | 208 |
| North East | 2,570 | 2,540 | 1,835 | 705 | 2,415 | 1,663 | 752 | 2,132 | 1,427 | 705 | 1,782 | 1,103 | 679 |
| Northamptonshire | 300 | 285 | 187 | 98 | 261 | 172 | 89 | 231 | 128 | 103 | 187 | 98 | 89 |
| Sheffield City Region | 2,398 | 2,104 | 1,544 | 560 | 1,794 | 1,226 | 568 | 1,401 | 858 | 543 | 992 | 572 | 420 |
| South East | 2,388 | 2,100 | 1,392 | 708 | 2,015 | 1,153 | 862 | 1,714 | 822 | 892 | 1,359 | 596 | 763 |
| Stoke on Trent & Staffordshire | 267 | 253 | 188 | 65 | 241 | 169 | 72 | 218 | 133 | 85 | 197 | 108 | 89 |
| Tees Valley | 458 | 422 | 341 | 81 | 395 | 297 | 98 | 350 | 233 | 117 | 300 | 184 | 116 |
| The Marches | 383 | 308 | 226 | 82 | 262 | 178 | 84 | 185 | 125 | 60 | 137 | 88 | 49 |
| Worcestershire | 270 | 258 | 160 | 98 | 240 | 110 | 130 | 188 | 64 | 124 | 141 | 41 | 100 |
| Total | 25,885 | 24,060 | 17,291 | 6,769 | 22,394 | 14,478 | 7,916 | 19,427 | 10,773 | 8,654 | 15,966 | 7,656 | 8,310 |

Table 3.2: Summary of 24-month stage CDF responses

| Partnership | Count |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Black Country | 255 |
| Cornwall & Isles of Scilly | 19 |
| Coventry & Warwickshire | 19 |
| Derbyshire & Nottinghamshire | 45 |
| Greater Birmingham & Solihull | 43 |
| Greater Lincolnshire | 15 |
| Greater Manchester | 77 |
| Humber | 75 |
| Leeds City Region | 95 |
| Leicester & Leicestershire | 36 |
| Liverpool City Region | 70 |
| London | 63 |
| New Anglia | 32 |
| North East | 93 |
| Northamptonshire | 18 |
| Sheffield City Region | 134 |
| South East | 72 |
| Stoke on Trent & Staffordshire | 26 |
| Tees Valley | 31 |
| The Marches | 14 |
| Worcestershire | 4 |
| Total | 1,236 |

CDF data has been used in the following ways:

- To routinely produce descriptive analysis on who was participating in the programme e.g. personal characteristics, previous labour market experiences, skills and capabilities and barriers to employment, and what they had done (activities and support).
- To routinely produce longitudinal analysis on participant outcomes achieved over time and distance-travelled (e.g. improvements in wellbeing, labour market outcomes and progress on the My Journey scale).
- To inform the selection of case studies, which then drew on both CDF and qualitative data collected (e.g. interviews with participants and programme staff).
- As part of an assessment of the cost efficiency and cost effectiveness of the programme.
- As part of an assessment of youth involvement in the programme including how partnerships involved young people, which groups of young people were involved and what difference involvement made to them.

CDF data has also been used in the following ways which are discussed further in the remainder of this chapter:

 To record 'actual' participant outcomes and calculate estimates of outcomes achieved.

- To create a measure of proximity, or nearness, to the labour market which also informed the creation of a four-fold typology of partnerships based on both the characteristics of their young people and local labour market conditions.
- As part of a statistical modelling exercise to identify factors most associated with positive labour market outcomes.
- As part of a quasi-experimental assessment comparing employment outcomes for Talent Match participants against a matched comparator from the Labour Force Survey (LFS).
- As part of an assessment of the value of the costs and benefits of the programme.

3.2. Recording and estimating outcomes

Participant outcomes were recorded via the CDF questionnaires and collated on a quarterly basis. These included the headline outcomes of securing employment and achieving sustained employment but also other outcomes around progress towards the labour market such as entering work experience, training or taking up volunteering. These 'actual' outcomes were primarily based on responses to the following question included in the CDF questionnaire:

Which of the following currently apply to you?

The response options to this question which the 'actual figures' were based on were the following:

- working less than 16 hours per week
- working 16 hours or more per week (excluding apprenticeship)
- self-employed
- volunteering
- work Placement
- apprenticeship
- formal education e.g. college
- in training.

In acknowledgement that this method would not be able to pick up on all outcomes achieved, estimated figures for outcomes were also calculated.

The process behind the estimation work involved:

- providing a method to better estimate the number of participants who had achieved outcomes;
- producing weights to make the results more reliable given the non-response.

The basic principle behind the method to estimate the number of participants who achieved outcomes was to create an outcome for all participants. This was different from the 'actual' calculations in two ways. Taking 'securing employment' as an example:

• It drew in a wider range of information collected via the CDF questionnaires:

- A participant was said to have achieved employment if they were in employment at any CDF response, if they were uncontactable but were identified by their key worker as being in employment or if they reported having a job since starting on Talent Match; the second and third group were not in the 'actual' definition.
- If a participant had completed all their CDF responses but had not indicated that they had achieved employment by the above measures they were recorded as not achieving employment.
- It then predicted outcomes for participants who were not recorded as in employment via any CDF responses that were submitted and also had missing CDF returns. This was done by computing probabilities for achieving an employment outcome at each missing CDF stage based on a young person's characteristics at baseline and whether respondents with similar characteristics and completed CDF returns had secured employment or not.

These were then summed to get the predicted total number who achieved an employment outcome. It is worth noting that the majority of employment outcomes were recorded via the first method above (i.e. through responses collected via the CDF questionnaires) rather than as predicted outcomes.

This process was then repeated for the other outcomes.

3.3. Proximity to the labour market

A measure of proximity, or nearness, to the labour market was created to estimate how likely a given young person was to be in work. This measure combined information about a young person's characteristics, experiences and competencies to provide a single indicator of how close a given young person was to the labour market. Twelve indicators were combined to create the measure. These were identified in a statistical modelling exercise on CDF responses at 6 or 12 months, depending on which was the latest, to identify factors statistically associated with being in work.

Logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with a young person being in work or not. Over 28 variables were considered for inclusion covering housing tenure, having children, having a limiting disability, educational attainment, self-reported competencies (including communication, teamwork, basic skills, ability to compose a CV and appropriate clothing for an interview), and services the young person was involved with.

Backwards variable selection using a likelihood-ratio test (LR) was used to identify variables for inclusion within the final proximity to the labour market measure. This identified 12 factors:

- have a limiting disability, negative factor;
- have children, negative factor;
- attained five or more GCSEs at grade A* to C (including English and Maths);
- understand the skills that employers want;
- have good specific skills for desired job;
- setting and achieve goals;
- managing feelings;
- confidence/self esteem;

- have appropriate clothes for an interview;
- involved with drugs/alcohol support, negative factor;
- involved with mental health services, negative factor;
- ever had worked before.

To simplify interpretation of the measure and so that it made sense for it to remain consistent over time the factors were each given an equal weight. This is opposed to using the coefficients from the statistical model to weight factors according to their relative importance in predicting the likelihood that a given young person was in work. Both these approaches were tested against each other by applying baseline CDF responses. However relatively few differences were noted in the positions of young people on either scale.

The final measure was on a scale running from zero to 12, with a higher score indicating a greater proximity to the labour market. For the purpose of our analysis scores were grouped into five bands indicating level of distance to the labour market. These were:

- group one: furthest from the labour market: scores of zero to five;
- group two: scores of six and seven;
- group three: scores of eight;
- group four: scores of nine and 10;
- group five: nearest to the labour market: scores of 11 or 12.

3.4. Typology

A four-fold typology was created to explore further the differences between partnerships. This was based on both the characteristics of the young people and local labour market conditions. Partnerships were first categorised depending on how many of their young people were classed as 'far from the labour market'. To ensure a reasonably even division, partnerships were divided depending on whether 55 per cent or more of their young people were included within this group. Partnerships were then further divided depending on how many of their young people lived in local authorities with a high unemployment benefit claimant count (as of June 2016 - the programme midway point). This measure was used as a proxy for how challenging local labour market conditions were for these young people. 'High' in this context was set as any local authority with a claimant rate above 2.5 per cent. Partnerships were classified according to whether the majority of their young peoples' local authorities ¹ fell above or below this threshold. Table 3.3 shows the partnerships assigned to each category.

¹ Note that Talent Match partnerships were organised by Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) area and therefore contained multiple local authorities

Table 3.3: Partnership level typology classifications

| Classification | Partnerships |
|----------------|--|
| Far / High | Black Country, Greater Manchester, Humber, Liverpool City Region, Sheffield City Region, Tees Valley |
| Far / Low | Cornwall & Isles of Scilly, Coventry & Warwickshire, Derbyshire & Nottinghamshire, Greater Lincolnshire, Leeds City Region, Northamptonshire, Stoke on Trent & Staffordshire, Worcestershire |
| Near / High | Greater Birmingham & Solihull, North East |
| Near / Low | Leicester & Leicestershire, London, New Anglia, South East, The Marches |

3.5. Statistical modelling strategy

A statistical modelling exercise was undertaken using the CDF data, as well as data from the delivery partner surveys and local labour market statistics, to find out which factors were most associated with positive labour market outcomes and which represented ongoing barriers.

A two-stage statistical modelling approach was used to test and analyse the influence of individual, programme and wider labour market factors on the likelihood that a young person had gained either employment or self-employment.

The first stage used logistic regression modelling to identify individual, beneficiary specific characteristics that were associated with achieving this outcome. A backwards selection strategy considered 56 different factors. These included gender, ethnicity, having a limiting disability, had children, whether they were a hidden NEET, educational attainment, volunteered in past 4 weeks, labour market experiences, skills and attributes, support services and types of support received from Talent Match. The partnership that the beneficiary had engaged with was also included within the model. Thirty-two variables were identified as being statistically significantly associated with the outcome variable: a young person had gained either employment or self-employment. These 32 factors were taken forward into the second stage of analysis.

Multi-level modelling (MLM) was used in the second stage to test and analyse the influence that higher level programme and external local labour market factors had on the likelihood that a young person had achieved the outcome. MLM acknowledges that individual-level factors do not act in isolation from factors at other levels, such as the nature of the partnership which the young person has engaged or the local labour market circumstances that they face. As a result, the likelihood of young people achieving outcomes within the same partnership or area may be related. A two level MLM has been used. Level one was the individual young person and level two comprised the Talent Match partnership area.

3.6. Measuring Additionality: a matched Labour Force Survey (LFS) comparator

A key aim of the evaluation was to estimate the net additional impact of the Talent Match programme on its participants' likelihood of finding employment over a 12-month period. That is identified as the proportion of Talent Match participants who found employment over and above what might have been expected to happen if they had not participated on the programme.

To estimate this impact, propensity score matching was used to identify a matched comparator sample of young people in the Labour Force Survey five-quarters survey who had similar characteristics to Talent Match participants, using data collected from

the CDF. Statistical modelling and testing were then used to estimate the difference in likelihood of achieving employment in a 12-month period.

For the purpose of the analyses a subsample of the total CDF data collected were used containing only those Talent Match beneficiaries who completed 12 months' worth of CDF responses (n=10,373).

Regarding the LFS five quarters sample data, for the purpose of the analysis data for respondents first entering the survey between January 2013 and June 2017 were combined into a single dataset. This was to ensure a sufficiently large enough sample size and to replicate the time period that the Talent Match programme ran. The following respondents were removed: Not resident in England, not aged 17 to 25 years inclusive, enrolled and attending an education course, in a job or doing an apprenticeship. These have been removed to ensure the sample of LFS participants is similar to participants who were eligible for Talent Match.

The CDF and LFS data were combined into one dataset. The total number of cases was 11,055, comprising 10,373 from the CDF and 682 from the LFS.

Propensity score matching

Propensity score matching has been used to derive a rigorous counterfactual to the Talent Match programme from the LFS sample. The STATA 'psmatch2' command was used with replacement and set so each Talent Match participant in the CDF sample was matched against their 'three nearest neighbours' in the LFS.

The matching took place across following variables:

- Not seeking work (dummy)
- highest qualification
- receive an unemployment benefit
- receive a disability benefit
- receive child benefit
- when left last employment (banded)
- local authority claimant rate
- age (banded)
- year responding to CDF or LFS (banded)
- have a limiting disability (dummy)
- male (dummy)
- white other (dummy)
- mixed ethnicity (dummy)
- Asian ethnicity (dummy)
- Black ethnicity (dummy)
- Arab ethnicity (dummy)
- other ethnicity (dummy).

Based on this propensity score matching process a final sample for the analysis was derived that included 589 LFS respondents and 10,373 CDF respondents.

The output from the score matching process provided weights to be used in the analysis. The samples were weighted whereby Talent Match participants from the CDF had a weight of one and LFS respondents have a weight equal to one third of the number of Talent Match participants which they were matched to in the propensity score matching process.

Checks were made to ensure the matches fell within a threshold and analysis took place to assess the robustness of the results if the LFS sample weights were capped to 50 and 100. These were not found to significantly affect the robustness of the results so the original weights were used in the final analysis.

Analysis

Logistic regression was used to assess the net additional impact of Talent Match on the likelihood of entering employment over the 12-month period of analysis. The outcome variable was coded 1 if the respondents had entered employment in 12 months following their first survey wave response and 0 if they had not. The only explanatory variable was a dummy variable coded 1 if the respondent was a Talent Match participant from the CDF sample or 0 if they were from the matched comparator from the Labour Force Survey. The analysis used the weight variable derived from the propensity score matching process (described above).

The analysis used the weight variable derived from the propensity score matching process (described above).

Table 3.4 below provides results from the logistic regression model. It shows participating in Talent Match is associated with a statistically significant increased likelihood of entering employment in the 12-month period compared to respondents in the matched Labour Force Survey sample.

Table 3.4: Logistic Regression for likelihood of entering employment

| Outcome: Entered employment in 12 months following first survey wave response | Coefficient | Standard Error | Z (sig. level) | Odds Ratio | Lower confidence level | Upper confidence level |
|---|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Talent Match participant (CDF respondent) | 0.331 | 0.020 | 16.64 (0.000) | 1.393 | 1.340 | 1.448 |

Table 3.5 below provides a descriptive summary of the percentage of respondents who entered employment in the 12 months following their first survey wave response, broken down by whether they are a respondent from the matched Labour Force Survey sample or they participated in Talent Match.

The results show 58 per cent of participants in Talent Match entered employment in 12 months following their first survey wave response. In comparison 42 per cent of respondents in the matched Labour Force Survey sample entered employment in 12

months following their first survey wave response. Therefore, on average Talent Match participants were 16.08 percentage points more likely to have entered employment.

Table 3.5: Percentage of respondents who entered employment in 12 months following their first survey wave response, column percentage.

| | Matched Labour Force Survey Sample respondent (%) | Talent Match Participant (%) |
|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Not entered employment in 12 months following first survey wave response | 58 | 42 |
| Entered employment in 12 months following first survey wave response | 42 | 58 |

3.7. Value of the costs and benefits of Talent Match

This section details the assumptions and method underpinning the valuation of costs and benefits emerging from Talent Match at an aggregate level.

The methodology underpinning the cost benefit analysis is based on the DWP Cost-Benefit Analysis framework (2013)² and reflects the principles set out in the Treasury's Green Book. In broad terms it involves comparing the monetised value of outcomes that can be attributed to the programme against its costs to derive a Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR). That is analysis is based on net additional employment outcomes: the total (gross) number who gain a job minus those that are likely to have gained a job even in the absence of participation in Talent Match. Throughout the analysis it has been conservatively assumed that:

- A sustained employment or self-employment outcome lasted for 52 weeks.
- A non-sustained employment or self-employment lasted for 13 weeks.

The following subsections set out how the following aspects have been valued:

- The cost of the programme.
- The additional income gained by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome.
- The economic output produced by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome.
- The additional social value from the improvement in life satisfaction achieved by Talent Match participants.
- The direct and indirect change in government spending due to:
 - the reduction in benefit payments

² Fujiwara, D. (2013) The Department for Work and Pensions Social Cost-Benefit Analysis framework. Methodologies for estimating and incorporating the wider social and economic impacts of work in Cost-Benefit Analysis of employment programmes. Working Paper no. 86. This document/publication is also available on the DWP website at: http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd5/rrs-index.asp

- the additional income taxes received
- reductions in health service costs
- reduced costs of dealing with crime.

The cost of the programme

The cost of the programme has been obtained from financial account information provided by the National Lottery Community Fund covering the period to 31 December 2018.

The additional income gained by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome

The additional income gained by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome has been estimated using primary data collected through the CDF.

It has been calculated by deducting the average benefit income of participants who find work when they joined the programme from the average income of participants when they find work. The latter includes earnings from work, after deducting income tax and National Insurance, and any remaining benefit income which they are entitled to when they entered work. The benefits considered include: Jobseekers Allowance, Employment and Support Allowance, Income Support, Income Support for Lone Parents, Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit.

It is estimated that a young person who managed to secure employment/self-employment gained, on average, an additional £153 net additional income per week. Based on the assumed duration of work set out in the introduction to this Section it is estimated that participants who achieved a positive employment outcome gained a total of £50.462 million in net additional income. Adjusting for the level of additionality - outcomes that would not have occurred without the programme (calculated in chapter 5) - this provides:

- £13.939 million in additional income, based on the upper estimate of additionality compared to the baseline situation.
- £4.373 million in additional income, based on the lower estimate of additionality compared to the baseline situation.

The economic output produced by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome

The economic output produced by Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome has been calculated based on the assumption that an employee produces an economic output equivalent to their cost of employment. Using responses to the CDF it is calculated that the average value of the economic output produced by Talent Match participants in work is £219 per week. Applying this value to the benefit durations outlined in the introduction to this section finds participants who achieved an employment outcome produced £72.405 million in economic output. Adjusting for additionality it is calculated that:

 £20.000 million of this economic output would not have been achieved without participation in Talent Match, based on the upper estimate of the level of additionality. £6.275 million of this economic output would not have been achieved without participation in Talent Match, based on the lower estimate of the level of additionality.

Additional life satisfaction value gained by participants

Life satisfaction valuation techniques have been used to estimate the value of the average improvement in life satisfaction experienced by Talent Match participants. This involved a three-step process. First statistical modelling was applied to CDF responses to estimate the average improvement in life satisfaction between baseline and last responses, given a range of young person characteristics such as their age, gender and ethnicity. This revealed that on average participants experienced a 1.6 unit improvement in their life satisfaction.

Second evidence from Fujiwara et al. (2014) had been used to estimate the average increase in household income that would produce an equivalent improvement in life satisfaction. This suggests an estimated £22,000 increase in household income would be required to produce the same improvement in life satisfaction (1.6 'life satisfaction points').

Finally, this value is applied across all 25,885 Talent Match participants to give value of the total gain in life satisfaction: £565.211 million.

The approach seeks to capture the total of life satisfaction gains in one step avoiding double counting, rather than individually valuing the life satisfaction improvements from, for example, volunteering or greater employability. However, it is important to stress that this computed monetary value is not real additional money that the Talent Match participant will receive. Rather it is the equivalent value of household income that would provide an uplift in average life satisfaction achieved by an average Talent Match participant.

The level of additionality for the improvement in life satisfaction is likely to be significantly higher than for employment outcomes (28 per cent). For example the latest Homes and Communities Agency additionality guide estimates an average gross to net additionality ratio of 48 per cent for projects benefiting young people to promote personal and social development. ³ In the absence of other reliable evidence this 48 per cent ratio has been applied to monetise the net additionality value of improved life satisfaction. However, it is more than likely that this will be an underestimate of the true level of additionality. Based on this the monetised value of the net additional improvement in life satisfaction is valued at £285.538 million.

The direct and indirect change in government spending due to the reduction in benefit payments

The increase in tax and National Insurance receipts for the Exchequer (HM Treasury) from Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome has been estimated using primary data collected through the CDF. Based on the income data provided by participants who gain a job it is estimated that on average they pay £15 per week in income tax and National Insurance.

Appling this value to the number of participants who gained a job, based on the benefit durations outlined above, it is estimated that participants who achieved a positive

³ Homes and Communities Agency (2014) Additionality Guide Fourth Edition, https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_da ta/file/378177/additionality guide 2014 full.pdf

outcome had provided a total benefit to the Exchequer of £4.784 million. Adjusting for additionality it is calculated that:

- £1,321 million of this benefit to the Exchequer would not have been achieved without Talent Match, based on the upper estimate of additionality.
- £0.415 million of this benefit to the Exchequer would not have been achieved without Talent Match, based on the lower estimate of additionality.

The direct and indirect change in government spending due to the additional income taxes received

The reduction in benefit payments for the Exchequer (HM Treasury) from Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome has been estimated using primary data collected through the CDF. Comparing the benefits received by such participants when they enter the programme compared to when they find work it is estimated that on average benefit payment reduce by £43 per week. This analysis considered the following benefits: Jobseekers Allowance, Employment and Support Allowance, Income Support, Income Support for Lone Parents, Housing Benefit and Council Tax Benefit.

Appling this average reduction to the number of participants who gained a job, based on the benefit durations outlined above, it is estimated that participants who achieved a positive outcome had provided a total benefit to the Exchequer of £14,110 million. Adjusting for additionality it is calculated that:

- £3,898 million of this benefit to the Exchequer would not have been achieved without Talent Match, based on the upper estimate of additionality.
- £1,223 million of this benefit to the Exchequer would not have been achieved without Talent Match, based on the lower estimate of additionality.

The direct and indirect change in government spending due to reductions in health service costs

Fujiwara (2010) developed an approach to value the reduction in NHS costs incurred from moving into work. Updating the computed values into 2018 prices it is estimated that when an unemployed person moves into work they incur £602 less per annum in NHS costs per annum in 2018 prices. Whereas a person moving from Employment and Support Allowance into work incur £1,204 less in NHS costs per annum (in 2018 prices).

Based on these values the employment outcomes achieved by Talent Match participants will have led to a £4.429 million reduction in NHS costs. These are mainly due to reduced GP consultations.

When only net additional outcomes are considered the value the employment outcomes directly attributable to Talent Match will have led to a £1.223 million reduction in NHS costs, based on the upper estimate of additionality. Using the lower estimate of additionality Talent Match will have led to a £384 thousand reduction in NHS costs.

The direct and indirect change in government spending due to reduced costs of dealing with crime

As reported in Bivand and Simmonds (2014)⁴, Fujiwara (2010)⁵ finds that supporting people into work is associated with reduced costs of crime to public services. This is due to a relationship between crime and income levels. Table 6.4 applies Bivand and Simmonds (2014) estimated annual savings, in 2018 prices, to calculate the reduced costs of crime due to Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome. Its shows:

- An estimated £3.014 million reduction in costs of crime to public services from Talent Match participants achieving an employment outcome.
- Of this value £833 thousand is directly attributable to Talent Match based on the upper estimate of additionality, or £261 thousand based on the lower estimate of additionality.

⁴ Bivand and Simmonds (2014) The Benefits Of Tackling Worklessness and Low Pay. Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

⁵ Fujiwara, D. (2010) The Department for Work and Pensions social cost–benefit analysis framework: Methodologies for estimating and incorporating the wider social and economic impacts of work in cost–benefit analysis of employment programmes. Department for Work and Pensions Working Paper No. 86.

4

Partnership and delivery partner surveys

4.1. Lead partner survey

A survey of the 21 organisations leading the Talent Match partnerships was conducted in 2014, 2015 and 2018. The survey was intended to help provide a regular snapshot of the Talent Match partnerships against some specific indicators and capture any changes as the programme progressed. The survey was administered electronically and all 21 partnerships responded to each of the three survey waves. The 2014, 2015 and 2018 survey questionnaires can be found in Appendix Three.

4.2. Delivery partner survey

A separate online survey was also conducted in the same three years with the lead organisations' delivery partners - the organisations contracted to deliver Talent Match services. The survey sought to understand who was delivering support across the programme, the nature of provision and the experiences of partners in delivering services.

Table 4.1 details the responses received to the survey over the three waves. In total 266 organisations took part in at least one survey wave, and of these 17 per cent (n=44) completed all three waves. Of those who completed the final survey in 2018, 85 per cent (137 organisations) were still delivering Talent Match services.

Table 4.1: Responses to the delivery partner survey

| | | | = |
|------|--|------------------------------|------------------|
| Year | Number of organisations invited to take part | Number of responses received | Response rate |
| 2014 | 212 | 119 | 56% |
| 2015 | 239 | 148 | 62% |
| 2018 | 306 | 162 | 53% |

The 2014, 2015 and 2018 survey questionnaires can be found in Appendix Four.

Qualitative research

5

5.1. Annual partnership visits

An annual programme of visits to Talent Match partnerships was carried out between 2014 and 2016 involving face-to-face interviews with partnership leads, strategic partners, and delivery partners.

5.2. Semi-structured interviews with Talent Match beneficiaries

Semi-structured interviews with young people participating in the Talent Match programme were conducted in two waves between 2015 and 2017. Interviewees were drawn from across seven different partnerships. Table 5.1 below details the number of interviews undertaken across the two waves by partnership. A total of 61 interviews were carried out in wave one, and 66 interviews in wave two. Fifty seven percent of interviewees who participated at wave one were also interviewed at wave two (n=35).

Table 5.1: Summary of interviews undertaken with beneficiaries

| Partnership | Wave 1 | Wa | ve 2 |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | | W1 Re- interviewed | New recruits |
| Sheffield | 7 | 5 | 10 |
| Leeds | 6 | 3 | 7 |
| Coventry & Warwickshire | 10 | 7 | - |
| Leicester | 16 | 6 | - |
| New Anglia | 3 | 2 | - |
| Staffs | 7 | 5 | - |
| Liverpool | 12 | 7 | 14 |
| TOTAL | 61 | 35 | 31 |
| | | Total \ | N2 = 66 |

5.3. Case study-based thematic research

In the first three years of programme delivery, the evaluation included thematic studies, and thematic reports were produced on the following six topics:

- partnership working and development;
- involvement of young people;
- employer involvement and engagement;

- mental health and wellbeing;
- in-work support;
- key worker models.

The thematic reports each contained a review of the relevant academic and policy literature and insights from qualitative research and case studies undertaken in selected partnerships. Where relevant other data sources were drawn upon, for example data collected via the CDF or responses to the lead and delivery partners surveys.

Definitions

Securing/achieving employment (actual): Young people were considered to have secured employment if they indicated they were 'Working 16 hours or more per week', 'Self-employed' or 'Working less than 16 hours per week' and indicated they had caring responsibilities/childcare commitments/disability/ ill health or education commitments which limited the number of hours they can work, at any of the CDF survey follow-up stages (three ,six ,12,18 or 24).

Securing/achieving employment (estimated): In addition to those recorded via the actual definition above, a young person has been recorded as securing employment if they indicated they had 'Gained employment' since starting on the programme or their key worker indicated they had 'Gained employment' at any CDF follow-up stage. For those not recorded as in employment via any CDF responses and also had missing CDF returns, employment was predicted based on their characteristics at baseline and whether respondents with similar characteristics and completed CDF returns had secured employment or not (see section 3.2 for more information on the method for predicting outcomes).

Securing/achieving sustained employment (actual): Young people who were recorded as securing employment (actual) were recorded as securing sustained employment if they indicated they had been employed for six months or more as an employee or 12 months if self-employed at any of the CDF survey follow-up stages (three ,six ,12,18 or 24).

Securing/achieving sustained employment (estimated): In addition to those recorded via the actual definition above, further young people were identified as securing sustained employment via the estimation process as detailed above and in section 3.2.

Employment outcome: In relation to the quasi-experimental assessment comparing employment outcomes for Talent Match participants against a matched comparator from the Labour Force Survey (LFS), an 'Employment outcome' was were a young person had achieved either sustained or non-sustained employment/self-employment.

Appendix 1: Baseline CDF Questionnaire



| TALENT MATCH QUESTIONN | AIRE - BASELINE Ref No: |
|--|---|
| This questionnaire has been designe the Big Lottery Fund's Talent Match F | d to collect information from young people participating in Programme. |
| accordance with the General Data I this project, the law in England perm the 'public interest'. This is because | The Big Lottery Fund and Sheffield Hallam University in Protection Regulation and other applicable legislation. In its the processing of personal data because doing so is in se it will help others to understand more about young mme and the sort of supports of support that are most is sustainable employment. |
| | Fund, Sheffield Hallam University and Talent Match itoring, evaluation and research only. |
| experiences of the Talent Match Prog | y wish to contact participants in the future to discuss their gramme. Participation in the research is voluntary and o the Research Team and your Talent Match Partnership |
| If you have any questions or issue Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk | s when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth (0114 225 6290). |
| P | ROGRAMME DETAILS |
| Please supply the following information | in: |
| DATE INTERVIEW COMPLETED (DD/M | IM/YYYY): |
| PARTNERSHIP: | PROVIDER: |
| How is the CDF being completed? | |
| Young person completing the questionns Young person completing the questionns Young person completing the questionns | aire together with a support worker 2 |
| If completing with an advisor/mentor | or support worker please provide their name: |
| 1. INDIVIDUAL | BENEFICIARY CHARACTERISTICS |
| First name: | Surname: |
| Address: | Postcode: |
| Email: | Phone: |
| Date of birth (DD/MM/YYYY): | Age: |
| 1. Are you? | |
| Male □: Female □: | |

| TO E, THEN TICK ONE BOX TO BEST DESCRIBE |
|--|
| □z |
| TO E. THEN TICK ONE BOX TO BEST DESCRIBE |
| TO E. THEN TICK ONE BOX TO BEST DESCRIBE |
| |
| ck/African/Caribbean/Black h: |
| n 🗆 14 |
| pean 🗆 15 |
| Black |
| ner Ethnic Group: |
| DW |
| ther Ethnic Group (write in) |
| STATE OF THE STATE |
| |
| |
| not to say |
| MC044 |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| TICK ONE BO ONLY |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| , |
| many children do you have in the ng age groups? (write in) |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |


| | reduced or suspen | dea)) |
|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Yes [60 to 010] □1 No [60 to 012] □2 | | |
| 10. Which benefits do you receive? | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Job Seekers Allowance | | |
| Employment and Support Allowance | | |
| Housing Benefit | | |
| JSA Severe Hardship Payments (16-18 year olds only) | | |
| ncome Support | | |
| Income Support for Lone Parents Personal Independence Payments / Disability Living Allowance | | |
| Council Tax Benefit | | |
| Carer's Allowance | ō | |
| Universal Credit | | |
| Child Benefit | | |
| Child Tax Credit | | |
| Other (write in) | | |
| Don't know | n. | |
| Don't know | | |
| 11. Are your benefits currently being sanctioned by the Departmen have your benefits been reduced or suspended)? TICK ALL THAT APP | | nsions (i.e. |
| Yes, for Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit | | |
| Y I Y A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A | | |
| Yes, for Employment and Support Allowance | | |
| No 🖂 | | |
| | | |
| No 🖂 | TICK | ONE BOX ONL |
| No 🖂 Don't know | TICK | ONE BOX ONL |
| No Don't know Don't know Da | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] | |
| No Don't know Don't know Da | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] | □1 □2 □3 |
| No Don't know Don't know Day 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord. Rented from a Housing Association. Rented from Local Authority. Dwner occupier - with mortgage. | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] | □1 □2 □3 □4 |
| No Don't know Day 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Dwner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] | □1 □2 □3 □4 □5 |
| No Don't know Don't know Day and Don't know Don't know Day and | [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] | □1 □2 □3 □4 □6 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Owner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q14] | □1 □2 □3 □4 □6 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord. Rented from a Housing Association. Rented from Local Authority. Dwner occupier - with mortgage. Dwner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright. Live with parents / guardian. | [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] [GO TO 014] | 01 02 03 04 06 06 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord. Rented from a Housing Association. Rented from Local Authority. Dwner occupier - with mortgage. Dwner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright. Live with parents / guardian. Other. 13. What are your current living arrangements? | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 01 02 03 04 06 06 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Dwner occupier - with mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian Other 13. What are your current living arrangements? Children's Home Foster care | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 01 02 03 04 05 06 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Owner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian Other 13. What are your current living arrangements? Children's Home Foster care Sleeping rough | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 1 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Dwner occupier - with mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian Other 13. What are your current living arrangements? Children's Home Foster care Sleeping rough Night shelter / temporary hostel | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 102 103 104 105 106 |
| No Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Dwner occupier - with mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian Other 13. What are your current living arrangements? Children's Home Foster care Sleeping rough Night shelter / temporary hostel Hostel | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 102 103 104 105 106 |
| Don't know 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Dwner occupier - with mortgage Dwner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright Live with parents / guardian Other 13. What are your current living arrangements? Children's Home Foster care Sleeping rough Night shelter / temporary hostel Hostel Femporarily staying with friends / relatives (inc. sofa surfing) | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 102 103 104 105 106 |
| No □3 Don't know □4 12. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? | [GO TO Q14] [GO TO Q13] TICK ONE BOX OF | 01 02 03 04 05 06 |

| 14. Do any of the following experiences a | pply to | you? TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
|---|-------------|---|---------------------------------|
| I have been in local authority care I have been convicted of a criminal offence | | I have experienced mental III health I have experienced homelessness | 0000 |
| I have experienced alcohol dependency | | None of the above | |
| I have experienced drug dependency | | Prefer not to say | |
| 15. Which best describes your sexuality? | TICK ON | E BOX ONLY | |
| Heterosexual (straight) | D | Bisexual | □ |
| Lesbian | \square_2 | Don't know | |
| Gay | □3 | Prefer not to say | □6 |
| | | | |
| Yes No 2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo | | | uch as NVQs, E BOX ONLY |
| No 17. What is the highest level qualification | | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | |
| No 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level | | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY □1 □2 |
| No D2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level Level 1 (e.g. GCSEs D-G) | | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY |
| No D2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level Level 1 (e.g. GCSEs D-G) Level 2 (e.g. GCSEs A*-C) | | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY □1 □2 □3 □4 |
| No D2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level Level † (e.g. GCSEs D-G) Level 2 (e.g. GCSEs A*-C) Level 3 (e.g. AS/A levels) | omes car | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 |
| No D2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level Level 1 (e.g. GCSEs D-G) Level 2 (e.g. GCSEs A*-C) Level 3 (e.g. AS/A levels) Level 4 (e.g. Certificate of Higher education, | omes car | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY □1 □2 □3 □4 □6 □6 |
| No D2 17. What is the highest level qualification BTECs, OCR Nationals, Functional Skills and Diplo No qualifications Entry Level Level 1 (e.g. GCSEs D-G) Level 2 (e.g. GCSEs A*-C) Level 3 (e.g. AS/A levels) | omes car | be awarded at different levels) TICK ON | E BOX ONLY □ 1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5 |

| ALL MILLS | Marie and | | Match Program | 111110 |
|------------|---|-----------------------------|---|--|
| ALL THAT A | S. P. J. S. | Vante | months | |
| | (OI | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| П | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| П | For | years | months | |
| | For | years | months | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| Б | | | | |
| | | | s [GO TO Q20] | D |
| | | e in. visiting | 0 [GO TO Q21] | |
| | ast 3 mon | For For For For For For For | □ For years | For years months For years months |

| | 2. SKILI | LS | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| 21. To what extent do you agree or disa | gree with th | | | ements? | | OLLOWING | |
| I have | | Strongty | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Diragree | Strongly disagrae | Don't know |
| Good basic skills (reading/numbers) | | | | | □4 | □ 5 | □6 |
| Confidence in myself | | Πt | | | □4 | □5 | □e |
| An understanding of the skills employers a for | | Πt | □2 | Πs | □4 | | Пе |
| Identified my short and long-term careers | | | □2 | | □4 | □ 5 | □e |
| An understanding of a specific job or area interested in | of work I am | П | | | □4 | □5 | □6 |
| Good specific skills for the job I am looking | tor . | | □2 | □3 | □4 | □5 | □6 |
| The ability to put together a CV | | | □2 | □3 | □4 | □5 | Πe |
| Identified additional training I want to take | | | □2 □ | □3 | □4 | □ 5 | De |
| Appropriate clothing I can wear to an inten- An understanding of how to set up my own | | | □2 □2 | □3 □3 | □4 □4 | □5 □5 | □6 □6 |
| | | □1 | | 11. | | 115 | 116 |
| 22. Have you ever done any of the follow | wing? | | TICK | ALL THAT A | PEPLA | | |
| Taken up additional training Applied for jobs Attended at least one interview Completed an apprenticeship Completed a formal education course (e.g. Completed a training course (e.g. not form Gained employment | | | | 000000 | | | |
| Set up my own business None of the above | ARRIERS T | O OVER | RCOME | 000 | | | |
| Set up my own business None of the above | of the follow | b or de | ONE BO | ot to app | FEACH OF | THE FOLLO | DWING |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any | ed down a jo of the follow Yes | b or de | ONE BO | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLO | owing of cable |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B. 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any a. a) Access to and / or cost of transport | ed down a jo of the follow Yes. | b or de | No D2 | ot to app | REACH OF on t Know □3 | THE FOLLO | owing of cable 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B. 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any a. a) Access to and / or cost of transport b) Internet access | ed down a journal of the follow Yes. | b or de | No D2 | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLK | owing of cable 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B. 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any a. a) Access to and / or cost of transport | ed down a journal of the following yes. | b or de | No D2 D2 | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLO | owing cable 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any a a) Access to and / or cost of transport b) Internet access c) Access to and / or cost of childcare | ed down a journal of the follow Yes. | b or de | No D2 | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLS | owing cable 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any of the second seco | yes. | b or de | No D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLS | owing cable 14 14 14 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any of the second seco | yes. | b or de | No D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 D2 | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLS | owing cable 14 14 14 14 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any of the second seco | yes. | b or de | No De | ot to app | REACH OF | THE FOLLS | owing cable 14 14 14 14 14 14 |
| Set up my own business None of the above 3. KEY B 23. In the past 12 months have you turn interested in due to problems with any of the second seco | yes Ves | ob or decing? | Cided n | ot to app | EACH OF ON T Know On | THE FOLLS | ot cable la la la la la la la la |

| | 4. JC | BSC | F INTEREST | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------|--|-------|
| 25. What types of j | ob would you like to do | ? PLE | ASE LIST UP TO 3 ONLY | -1 |
| | | | | = |
| | | | | = |
| | | E 61 | IPPORT | |
| | Construction from the construction | | As a contract to the second | |
| | have you just complete | d, the | Work Programme? | |
| Yes | | | | |
| No | | | | |
| Don't know | □3 | | | |
| 27. Prior to joining | the Talent Match Prog | ramm | e which services were you involved with? TICK ALL THAT | APPLY |
| Job Centre Plus / Jo | bs and Benefits Office | | Mental health services, counselling | |
| Drug / alcohol supp | ort | | Careers / business advice or support | |
| Police, probation or | | | Community, youth or voluntary organisations | |
| Education, fraining. | skills development | | Other | |
| Social services | | | None | |
| Name of agencies: | | | | |
| 28. Which of the fo | llowing services are yo | ou cur | rently involved with? TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Job Centre Plus / Jo | bs and Benefits Office | | Mental health services, counselling | |
| Drug / alcohol supp | | | Careers / business advice or support | |
| Police, probation or | legal services | | Community, youth or voluntary organisations | |
| | skills development | | Other | |
| Social services | | | None | |
| Name of agencies | | | | |



| 0 | s 'comple | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Not at all | satisfied | | | | | | | C | ompletely | satisfie |
| 1. Over | all, to wh | at extent | do you fo | eel the th | ings you ly worthw | do in you | ır life are | | | |
| 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Vot at all | worthwhi | le | | | | | | Com | pletely w | orthwhile |
| | all, how h | | i you feel | yesterda | y, where | nought i | s 'not at a | ill happy' | and 10 is | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| lot at all | happy | | | | | | | | Complete | ely happ |
| | all, how a tely anxio | | lid you fe | el yester | day, whe | re nough | is 'not a | all anxio | us' and | 10 is |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| lot at all | anxious | | | | | | | C | ompletely | anxiou |
| | OU have a | | er comme | ents abou | ut the Tale | ent Match | Program | ime? | | |
| A | | | er comme | ents abou | ut the Tale | ent Match | Program | ime? | | |
| 4 - 4 - | | | er comme | ents abou | ut the Tale | ent Match | Program | ime? | | |
| A | | | er comme | ents abou | ut the Tale | ent Match | n Program | ime? | | |

Appendix 2: Follow-up CDF Questionnaire



TALENT MATCH QUESTIONNAIRE - FOLLOW-UP Ref No:

This follow-up questionnaire has been designed to collect information from young people participating in the Big Lottery Fund's Talent Match Programme.

Information supplied will be used by The Big Lottery Fund and Sheffield Hallam University in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation and other applicable legislation. In this project, the law in England permits the processing of personal data because doing so is in the public interest. This is because it will help others to understand more about young people's experiences of the programme and the sort of supports of support that are most useful in helping young people access sustainable employment.

Data will be used by The Big Lottery Fund, Sheffleld Hallam University and Talent Match Partnerships for the purposes of monitoring, evaluation and research only.

A member of the Research Team may wish to contact participants in the future to discuss their experiences of the Talent Match Programme. Participation in the research is voluntary and contact details will only be available to the Research Team and your Talent Match Partnership.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 6290).

Uncontactable clients

There is an expectation as part of Big Lottery funding that Partnerships will maintain contact with beneficiaries for as long as possible, regardless of whether they are still participating in Talent Match or not. However, the Fund recognises that, in rare circumstances, you may lose contact with some beneficiaries altogether, or for specific periods. Under these circumstances you are required to complete the series of questions below so that we can record any identified outcomes against those individuals. The Big Lottery Fund will monitor numbers of uncontactable clients to ensure these do not grow to a level that will affect the quality of the data.

| is the cl | lent unab | le to complete the follow-up questions | naire? | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|----|
| Yes | D) | No, the client is able to complete the que [GO TO PROGRAMME DETAILS] | estionnaire 🖂 | |
| Why is t | he client | not able to complete the questionnaire | ? TICK ONE BOX ONLY | |
| 6-3-0-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-6- | trees by the second | lost with the client | | |
| | | e to complete the survey with the client a conal circumstances etc.) | t this time (e.g. due ta | D2 |
| Other (w | | Circuitatances esc./ | | □a |
| | | | | |
| | | any of the following are applicable to | | |
| Since st | arting on | the Programme they had | the client: TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Since st Gained e | arting on employme | the Programme they had | | |
| Since st Gained e Become | arting on employme self-emplo | the Programme they had at byed | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Since st Gained e Become Started o | arting on employme self-emplo on a work | the Programme they had oved placement | | |
| Since st Gained e Become Started of Started a | arting on employme self-emplo on a work an apprent | the Programme they had oyed placement iceship | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Since st Gained e Become Started of Started of Entered | employme self-emplo on a work and apprent formal edu | the Programme they had oyed placement iceship | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Since st Gained e Become Started e Started a Entered Started t | employme self-emplo on a work and apprent formal edu | the Programme they had Int byed placement ideship ucation | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |

| How important was the support them to | | | | Program | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Very important | Oute Important | Not very | Not Important | Dona | Not applicable |
| Gain employment Become self-employed | D) | □2 □2 | Dia Dia | at all | □5 □5 | □6 □6 |
| Start on a work placement | | | | D. | □5 | □ ₆ |
| Start an apprenticeship | | □2 | | □4 | □5 | □ 6 |
| Enter formal education | | | | □4 | □s | |
| Start training Start volunteering | Ω+ Ω+ | | | □4 □4 | □s □s | □6 □6 |
| Please supply the following in | | <u> </u> | | Ш4 | 100 | |
| | TO OUT OF THE PARTY. | | | | 7 | |
| DATE INFORMATION RECOR | | | | | | |
| | PROGRAMME DE | TAILS | | | | - |
| Please supply the following in | formation; | | | | | |
| DATE INTERVIEW COMPLETE | ED (DD/MM/YYYY): | | | | | |
| PARTNERSHIP: | PRO | VIDER: | | | | |
| How is the CDF being comple | ted? | | | TICK ON | E BOX ON | iLY |
| Young person completing the qui Young person completing the qui Young person completing the qui | uestionnaire together with a | | | |]1]2]3 | |
| If completing with an advisor/ | mentor or support worker | please | provide t | heir nam | e: | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. INDIV | IDUAL BENEFICIARY C | HARAC | TERIST | ICS | | |
| First name: | Surnan | 10: | | | | |
| Address: | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | Pos | tcode: | | |
| Email: | Phone: | | | | | |
| Date of birth (DD/MM/YYYY): | | | Age: | | | |
| 1. Are you? | 2. Are you still | particip | ating in 1 | alent Ma | tch? | |
| Male 🔲 | Yes □₁ | | | | | |
| Female □2 | No □2 | | | | | |

| 3. Do you have any children? Yes [GO TO 04] | 4. How many children do you have in the following age groups? (write in) Under 2 2-5 Over 5 | |
|---|---|-----|
| 5. How many of these children live at home with | you? (write in) | |
| 6. Do you receive any benefits? (including any benefits? (including any benefits? (including any benefits? (i.e. benefits?) Yes [GO TO G7] No [GO TO G9] 2 | | j |
| 7. Which benefits do you receive? | TICK ALL THAT APPLY | |
| Job Seekers Allowance Employment and Support Allowance Housing Benefit JSA Severe Hardship Payments (16-18 year olds o Income Support Income Support for Lone Parents Personal Independence Payments / Disability Living Council Tax Benefit Carer's Allowance Universal Credit Child Benefit Child Tax Credit Other (write in) | | |
| 8. Are your benefits currently being sanctioned have your benefits been reduced or suspended | | |
| Yes, for Job Seekers Allowance or Universal Credit Yes, for Employment and Support Allowance No Don't know | | |
| 9. Do you own your house/flat or is it rented? | TICK ONE BOX O | NLY |
| Rented from a private landlord Rented from a Housing Association Rented from Local Authority Owner occupier - with mortgage Owner occupier - without mortgage/owned outright: Live with parents / guardian Other | [GO TO Q11] | |

| 10. What are your current living arrangements? | | | | TICK | NE BOX O | NLY |
|---|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Children's Home | | | | | | |
| Foster care | | | | | | |
| Sleeping rough | | | | □3 | | |
| Night shelter / temporary hostel | | | | | | |
| Höstel | et de | | | 1 5 | | |
| Temporarily staying with friends / relatives (inc. sofa sur Other supported accommodation (i.e. there are staff wh | | t vosest | | □6 □7 | | |
| Custody | o suppor | you | | □8 □ | | |
| Other (write in) | | | | 9 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. SKILI | | | | | | |
| 11. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the | | × | | H OF THE | FOLLOWING | G. |
| | //artaine | out the | Nanithur | | | |
| I have | Strongly agran | Agree | agred 1101 disagree | Disagree | Strongy | Danii |
| Good basic skills (reading/numbers) | | = 19 | | | □ 5 | De |
| Confidence in myself | | | □a. | □4 | □5 | □6 |
| An understanding of the skills employers are looking for | Di | D 2 | Div | □4 | □5 | |
| Identified my short and long-term careers goals | | | □3 | □4 | □5 | □e |
| An understanding of a specific job or area of work I am | | Dz | | □4 | □5 | □6 |
| interested in | | | | | | |
| Good specific skills for the job I am looking for | | | | □4 | □5 | |
| The ability to put together a CV | | | □a | | □5 | □e · |
| Identified additional training I want to take up | | | | □4 | □ 5 | □6 |
| Appropriate clothing I can wear to an interview An understanding of how to set up my own business | | | | □4 □4 | □5 □5 | □6 □6 |
| | - | - | | | | 116 |
| 12. Have you done any of the following since starting | g on the | | | | me? | |
| (policias) de como Veneral Veneral dinescripció | | TICK | ALL THAT | | | |
| Undertaken some form of work experience Undertaken some form of volunteering | | | | | | |
| Taken up additional training | | | | | | |
| Applied for jobs | | | | | | |
| Attended at least one interview | | | | ō | | |
| Completed an apprenticeship | | | | | | |
| Completed a formal education course (e.g. college cour | se) | | | | | |
| Completed a training course (e.g. not formal education) | | | | | | |
| Gained employment | | | | | | |
| Set up my own business | | | | | | |
| None of the above | | | | | | |

| | | 3. S | UPPOF | T | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|--|---------|
| | ling forms of supp | ort h | ave you | received from the Talent Match | |
| One to one support Basic skills (reading/nur Information, advice and Advice on personal deve Support in addressing p Financial support | guidance about car elopment | eers | 000000 | Support with travel Counselling In-work support Peer mentoring Other (write In) | 00000 |
| 14. Overall, how would | you rate the supp | ort y | ou have | received? TICK ONE BOX ONLY | |
| Very good | | | | | |
| Fairly good Neither good nor poor Fairly poor Very poor Don't know | 0.00 | | | | |
| 15. Which of the follow | ring services are y | ou cu | rrently | involved with? TICK ALL THA | T APPLY |
| Job Centre Plus / Jobs a Drug / alcohol support. Police, probation or lega Education, training, skill Social services Name of agencies; | al services | 00000 | Caree | health services, counselling is / business advice or support unity, youth or voluntary organisations | 00000 |
| 16. What types of job v | vould you like to d | 0? PL | EASE LIST | UP TO 3 ONLY | |
| | | | | | |
| - | | | | | |



| | s 'comple | 200 | N 1 1 1 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | I satisfied | | 2.7 | | | | | | ompletely | |
| | | | do you fee d 10 is 'co | | | | our life are | worthwh | ile where | nough |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Not at a | l worthwhi | le | | | | | | Can | pletely w | orthwhile |
| | rall, how t tely happ | | you feel y | esterda/ | y, where | nought | is 'not at a | all happy | and 10 is | S |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Not at a | l happy | | | | | | | | Complete | ly happy |
| | rall, how a tely anxio | | id you fee | l yester | day, wher | e noug | ht is 'not a | t all anxid | ous' and | 10 is |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Not at a | lanxious | 50 | | -0.5 | 7.00 | | Laborator . | | ompletely | anxious |
| | | 5. IM | PACT: TO | WARD | S FULFI | LLING | EMPLOYN | MENT | | |
| 22. Whi | ch of the f | following | currently | apply to | you? Tic | K ALL THA | T APPLY | | | |
| Not work | king and n | ot looking | for work | | For | | months | ٧ | veeks | |
| Not worl | king and lo | oking for | work | | For | | months | v | veeks | |
| Working | less than | 16 hours | per week | | For | | months | V | veeks | |
| | 16 hours | | er week | | For | | months | V | veeks | |
| Self-em | oloyed | | | | For | | months | V | veeks | |
| Voluntee | ering | | | | For | | mionths | V | veeks | |
| Work Pl | acement | | | | For | | months | ٧ | veeks | |
| Apprent | ceship | | | | For | | months | ٧ | veeks | |
| Formal i | education | e.g. colleg | ė | | For | | months | V | veeks | |
| In trainir | ıg | | | | For - | | months | V | veeks | |
| | m sick or | disabled | | | | | | | | |
| In custo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Travellin Looking | ig after childi | ren | | 0000 | | | | | | |
| Caring | direct Crinici | 014 | | H | | | | | | |
| | rite in) | | | П | | | | | | |

IF YOU ARE WORKING LESS THAN 16 HOURS PER WEEK GO TO Q23 IF YOU ARE WORKING 16 HOURS OR MORE PER WEEK, ARE SELF-EMPLOYED OR ARE ON AN APPRENTICESHIP GO TO Q24

IF YOU ARE NOT WORKING OR NOT ON AN APPRENTICESHIP GO TO Q35

| 23. What are your reasons | for working le | ess than 16 hours per week? | TICK ALL THAT APPLY |
|---|---|--|---------------------|
| | the number of number of ho the number o ch limits the nu urs but the term | hours I can work urs I can work f hours I can work Imber of hours I can work as an employe ns of my contract do not enable me to d | |
| Prefer not to say | | | D |
| e.g. a blog writer for a ma a cashier in a superm a cleaner in a hotel an events manager w | gazine narket | the type of organisation you work in | |
| Job title/role: | | | |
| Type of organisation/ self-employed: | | | |
| IF Y | OU ARE ON | AN APPRENTICESHIP GO TO Q35 | |
| 25. How important has the helping you gain employm | | received through the Talent Match Pro | ogramme been in |
| Very important Quite important Not very important Not important at all | □) □2 □3 □4 | | |
| 26. Which of the phrases b | elow best des | scribes your job? TICK ONE BOX ONLY | |
| Permanent Temporary - with no agreed Fixed period - with an agreed Self-employed [GO1 | | □1 □2 □3 □4 | |

| | HAVE & LELO HE | ours contract? TICK ONE B | OX ONLY | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Yes | | | | | | | | |
| No | [GO TO Q29] | □2 | | | | | | |
| Don't know | [GO TO Q29] | | | | | | | |
| 28. Would y | ou prefer a co | ontract with guaranteed I | nours? T | ICK ONE | BOX ONLY | | | |
| Ves | | | | | | | | |
| No | | □2 | | | | | | |
| Don't know | | □0. | | | | | | |
| 29. In the p | ast four weeks | have you | | | TICK | ALL THAT | APPLY | |
| Looked for a | in additional job | 9 | | | | | | |
| Looked for a | new job with le | onger hours | | | | □2 | | |
| Wanted to w | ork longer hou | rs in your current job (at y | our basic | rate of | pay) | Па | | |
| | | st month, on average ho to week please provide an | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | your basic ho iny overtime) | urly rate of pay? (before | tax and | other d | eduction | s are tak | en out ar | id |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | our pay before ent hours each | tax change from week to week? | week b | ecause | of overt | ime, or be | ecause y | ou |
| | | | week b | ecause | of overt | ime, or be | ecause y | ou |
| work difference Yes | ent hours each | | o week b | ecause | of overt | ime, or be | ecause y | ou |
| work difference Yes No 33. All thing | ent hours each | | sfied are | you w | ith your p | | | |
| work difference Yes No 33. All thing | ent hours each | week? | sfied are | you w | ith your p | | | 1, |
| work difference Yes No 33. All thing | ent hours each | n week? how satisfied or dissati dissatisfied' and 7 is 'co | sfied are | you w | ith your p | present jo | ob overal | 1 |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follo | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement | n week? how satisfied or dissati dissatisfied' and 7 is 'co | sfied are mpletely ent job ar | you wi satisfi 5 | ith your ped'? | oresent jo 6 Comp | ob overali 7 pletely sa | 1 |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follo | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co' 3 4 | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disag | you w satisfi 5 nd your | ith your ped'? future conthem. | oresent jo 6 Comp | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each | l, tisfied |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follo | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co' 3 4 | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disag | you w satisfi 5 nd your | ith your ped'? future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree | 6 Compareer, For | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each | l, tisfied |
| yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The foll- statement p | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement | how satisfied or dissati dissatisfied' and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curre o what extent you agree | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disagn rick of | you will satisfi | ith your ped'? future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree | 6 Compareer, For | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each se Followi | tisfied NG |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follostatement p | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing stateme please select to as a stepping as a stepping | how satisfied or dissati dissatisfied' and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curre o what extent you agree | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disagr nick of Strongly agree | you with satisfication of satisfication | future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree nor disagree | 6 Compareer. For EACH OF TH | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each E FOLLOWI Strongly disagree | tisfied NG Dan't know |
| yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follostatement p I see my pre I see my job worthwhile a I can't see ti | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement lob as par as a stepping experience for mis job going an | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curre o what extent you agree | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disagn rick (Strongly agree | you with satisfic 5 one with one Box | future conthem. ONLY FOR Neither agree nor disagree | Compareer. For Disagree | ob overall 7 pletely sa r each se Follows Strongly disagree | isfled NG Don't know |
| yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follostatement p I see my pre I see my job worthwhile a I can't see the | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement lob as par as a stepping experience for mis job going an respects | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curred what extent you agree t of a career stone, to provide me with my future career nywhere, there are no | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disage fick (Strongly agree | you wisatisfication of the satisfication of the sat | future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree nor disagree | Compareer. For Disagree | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each Strongly disagree | isfied NG Dan't know □ € □ 6 |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follostatement polyocompletely I see my pre I see my job worthwhile at I can't see if promotion polyob is im | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement lob as part as a stepping experience for mis job going an respects portant and it means the second control of the s | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curre o what extent you agree to a career stone, to provide me with my future career | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disagn rick (| you wisatisfication of the satisfication of the sat | future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree nor disagree | Compareer. For Disagree | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each Strongly disagree □5 □5 □5 □5 | isfied NG Don't know □ € □ 6 |
| Yes No 33. All thing where one 1 Completely 34. The follostatement polyocity I see my pre I see my job worthwhile at I can't see if promotion poly job is into My job is into the see in th | gs considered, is 'completely 2 dissatisfied owing statement lob as participated as a stepping experience for many job going an respects portant and it meresting | how satisfied or dissatidissatisfied and 7 is 'co 3 4 ents are about your curred what extent you agree t of a career stone, to provide me with my future career nywhere, there are no | sfied are mpletely ent job ar or disage fick (Strongly agree | you wisatisfication of the satisfication of the sat | future con them. ONLY FOR Neither agree nor disagree | Compareer. For Disagree | ob overali 7 pletely sa r each Strongly disagree | isfied NG Dan't know □ € □ 6 |

| 35. Have you looked for any kind of paid work in the last four weeks | ? Yes | | No | □ 2 |
|--|----------|----------|--------|------------|
| 36. In the past four weeks what active steps have you taken to find to | ork? Ha | ve you | | |
| Applied directly to an employer Studied or replied to advertisements Searched for jobs/information about jobs on the internet Contacted a private employment agency or job centre Asked friends or contacts Taken steps to start your own business None of these steps | | | | |
| 37. Have you volunteered during the last 3 months? This includes giving any help to groups, clubs, organisations or individuals which is unpaid, e.g., helpir out with or raising money for charity, campaigning for a cause you believe in, visiting or looking after people etc. | g | GO TO 0 | | |
| 38. Now just thinking about the <u>past 4 weeks</u> . Approximately how m spent doing this kind of thing/these kind of things? hours | any hour | s in tot | al hav | e you |
| 39. What do you think you achieved through your volunteering experience I gained work experience I gained or improved skills I made new friends I increased my confidence I helped make the world, or my local area, a nicer place to be I became more employable Other (write in) | rience? | TICK ALL | THAT A | PPLY |
| I didn't achieve anything Don't know | | | | |
| 40. Do you have any further comments about the Talent Match Prog PLEASE WRITE IN BELOW | ramme? | | | |

Appendix 3: Lead partner surveys



2014





This survey collects common information from all Talent Match Partnerships. The survey will be conducted on an ongoing basis to capture change as the programme progresses. The survey will be undertaken every six months and it is a requirement from the Big Lottery Fund. Learning from the survey will be shared to improve practice across the Talent Match Programme, Responses will be treated as confidential and will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 3539).

Many thanks

Section 1: Talent Match Partnerships

Please confirm if the organisations listed below are currently part of your Core Talent Match Partnership? Please delete any organisations which are not part of the Core Partnership or are only part of Delivery Partnerships and add in any organisations which have been missed out.

| ea d | | |
|---------|---|---|
| 1. | | Ī |
| 2. | | |
| 2. | | |
| 4, | _ | |
| 5. | | |
| 6. | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |

| 9. | |
|-----|--|
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12 | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |
| 28. | |
| 29. | |
| 30. | |
| 31. | |
| | |
| 32. | |
| 33. | |
| 34. | |
| 35, | |
| 36. | |
| 37. | |
| 38. | |
| 39. | |
| 40. | |

| members calculate th | of your Talent Match team? (i.e. funded by the Talent Match Programme) To help the FTE figures; one worker in one full time job would be one FTE and one who worked tould be 0.5. |
|-------------------------|--|
| Tidii tirtis w | |
| | Core Talent Match Partnership engage with Job Centre Plus in any way? Please cout the Core Talent Match Partnership as a whole and not its constituent ons. |
| Yes. | |
| No: | |
| O Don't | know |
| | ays does your Core Talent Match Partnership engage with Job Centre Plus? pond about the Core Talent Match Partnership as a whole and not its constituent ons. |
| Discu | ssions about provision |
| Intellig | gence sharing |
| Refer | rals |
| Finan | cial payments |
| Shari | ng outputs and outcomes |
| Co-de | alivery of services |
| Co-lo | cation |
| Other | |
| Other, pleas | se state |
| - | |
| providers | Core Talent Match Partnership engage with one or more local Work Programme in any way? Please respond about the Core Talent Match Partnership as a whole and stituent organisations. |
| Yes | |
| No. | |
| O Don't | know |
| | |

| Discussions about provision | | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Intelligence sharing | | | |
| Referrals | | | |
| Financial payments | | | |
| Sharing outputs and outcomes | | | |
| Co-delivery of services | | | |
| Co-location | | | |
| Other | | | |
| Other, please state | | | |
| | | | |
| | V | | |
| Section 2: Invo | Ivement of | young people | |
| Section 2: Invo | Ivement of | young people | |
| lave young people been involved i | | | See Survivor |
| | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved i | | | See Survivor |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| Nave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery Delivering services | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery Delivering services | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match artnership and/or service delivery delivering services Evaluation and research Marketing Media and dissemination Ingaging other young | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |
| lave young people been involved in Programme so far: Membership of the Core Partnership roup or committee Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery Delivering services Evaluation and research | n any of the follo | owing elements o | f your Talent Mate |

Please indicate the extent to which the involvement of young people in the following elements has assisted or constrained the development and delivery of your Talent Match Programme up to now:

| | | Assisted delivery | Neutral | Constrai ned delivery | y constr ained delivery | Don't know | Not applicable | |
|---|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---|
| Membership of the Core Partnership group or committee | | | | | | | | |
| Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery | | | | | | | | |
| Delivering services | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation and research | | | | | | | | |
| Marketing | | | | | | | | |
| Media and dissemination | | | | | | | | |
| Engaging other young people/Outreach work | | | | | | | | |
| Commissioning of services | | | | | | | | |
| (Q7j) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Does your Talent Match Partners involvement of young people? | ship have | e one or | more m | embers | of staff re | espons | ible for th | e |
| Yes | | | | | | | | |
| No Don't know | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | is a/are these dedicated youth liaison officer(s) responsible for the involvement of ng people? |
|------|--|
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Don't know |
| | s your Talent Match Partnership employ any young people who are part of the Talent the Partnership's targeted beneficiary groups, including apprentices? |
| | Yes |
| | No. |
| | Don't know |
| | many young people does the Talent Match Partnership currently employ, including entices, who are part of targeted beneficiary groups? |
| Does | s your Talent Match Partnership have a dedicated budget for the involvement of young ple? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Να |
| | Don't know |
| Wha | t is this budget? (£ per annum) |

Section 3: Factors assisting or constraining delivery

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: your Talent Match Partnership has...

| | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagre e | Strongly Disagre e | Don't know | Not appl |
|---|----------------|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------|
| Good quality data on local needs of hidden NEETS | | | | | | | |
| Employed staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | |
| Retained staff | | | | | | | |
| The ability to reach hidden NEETs | | | | | | | |
| Good links with employers | | | | | | | |
| Support from the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | |

| Good delivery the Partnershi | arrangements across p | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Cross-Partner delivery appro | ship agreement on ach | | | | |
| Successful inv people | olvement of young | | | | |
| The right num involved | ber of young people | | | | |
| Been affected the VCS | by cuts in other parts of | | | | |
| Good links wit | h the Work Programme | | | | |
| Good links wit | h Job Centre Plus | | | | |
| Good links wit market/employ | h other labour yability programmes | | | | |


Please indicate the extent to which the following factors have assisted or constrained the development and delivery of your Talent Match Programme up to now?

| Quality of data on local needs of hidden NEETS | Assisted delivery | Neutral | Constrai ned delivery | Seriousl y constr ained delivery | Don't know | Not applicable |
|--|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|
| Ability to employ staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | |
| Ability to retain staff | | | | | | |
| Engagement with the Work Programme | | | | | | |
| Engagement with Job Centre Plus | | | | | | |
| Engagement with other labour market programmes | | | | | | |
| Ability to reach hidden NEETs | | | | | | |
| Engagement with employers | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | |
| Lead-in time to Programme launch | | | | | | |
| Delivery arrangements across the Partnership | | | | | | |
| Level of cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | | | |
| Involvement of young people | | | | | | |
| The number of young people involved | | | | | | |
| Funding cuts in other parts of the VCS locally | | | | | | |

| elivery: | three main fac | tors which | have <u>assi</u> s | sted your | Talent Mat | ch Partnersh | ip in |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | ļ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| - 8 5 'v | 30.7 | | | | | 7,-10 | 1 |
| lease list the elivery: | three main fact | lors which | have cons | trained yo | our Talent | Match Partne | rship |
| envery. | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Î |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| lease expand elivery so far: | further on how | the factor | s identifie | d above h | ave const | rained or ass | isted |
| envery so rar. | | | | | | | - |
| envery so rar. | | | | | | | |
| envery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | | | | | | |
| envery so har. | | | | | | | |
| elivery so lar. | | ı 4: Outp | uts and | Outcor | nes | | |
| | Section | | | | | ing? (DD/MM | / VVV |
| | | | | | | ing? (DD/MM | /YYY |
| hen did you f | Section irst support a | young pers | on throug | h Talent M | latch fund | | |
| /hen did you f | Section irst support a | young pers | on throug | h Talent M | latch fund | | |

| | any non dadien o | r not the suppo | ort has been. | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ase outline any are | as where you fe | el you would | welcome add | itional support | and |
| rning, where this m | ay come from t | he either Big l | Lottery Fund | directly or anoth | ner sourc |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ase outline any are | as where you fe | el you would | like to share | your learning w | ith other |
| ent Match Partners | nips: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Section 6: Final comments



Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below.





This survey collects common information from all Talent Match Partnerships. The survey will be conducted on an ongoing basis to capture change as the programme progresses. The survey will be undertaken every 12 months and it is a requirement from the Big Lottery Fund. Learning from the survey will be shared to improve practice across the Talent Match Programme. Responses will be treated as confidential and will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.


If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 3539).

Many thanks

Section 1: Talent Match Partnerships

Please confirm if the organisations listed below are currently part of your Core Talent Match Partnership? Please delete any organisations which are not part of the Core Partnership or are only part of Delivery Partnerships and add in any organisations which have been missed out.

| ea d | | |
|---------|--|---|
| d | | |
| 1. | | + |
| 2. | | |
| 3, | | |
| 4. | | |
| 5. | | |
| 6. | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8. | | |



| hat you see as t | he most innovativ | ve aspect(s) of you |
|------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Ivement of | oung people | |
| | | |
| any of the follo | wing elements of | your Talent Matc |
| Yes | No | Don't know |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ople are current | ly involved in eac | h of the following |
| ramme? Please | estimate if you de | o not know the ex |
| | | |
| | vement of your any of the following and the followi | Ivement of young people of any of the following elements of the follow |

| Delivering services | |
|--|--|
| Evaluation, research and gathering feedback | |
| Marketing | |
| Media and dissemination | |
| Engaging other young people / Outreach work | |
| Commissioning of services | |
| (Q4j) | |

Please indicate the extent to which the involvement of young people in the following elements has assisted or constrained the development and delivery of your Talent Match Programme up to now:

| Membership of the Core Partnership group or committee | Greatly assisted delivery | Assisted delivery | Neutral | Constrained delivery | y constrained delivery | Don't know | Not applicable | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery | | | | | | | | |
| Delivering services | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation, research and gathering feedback | | | | | | | | |
| Marketing | | | | | | | | |
| Media and dissemination | | | | | | | | |
| Engaging other young people/Outreach work | | | | | | | | |
| Commissioning of services | | | | | | | | |
| (Q4j) | | | | | | | | |

| Does your Talent Match Partner | | | | | | | ne Tal |
|---|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------|---------|
| Match Partnership's targeted be | eneficiary | groups | s, includi | ng appr | entices? | | |
| Yes | | | | | | | |
| No. | | | | | | | |
| Don't know | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| How many young people does t | | | | | ently em | ploy, in | cludi |
| apprentices, who are part of tar | geted ber | neficiar | y groups | ? | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | were an | 900 4000 | 6 | |
| Does your Talent Match Partner | ship have | e a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemer | it of y |
| people? | ship have | e a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemer | nt of y |
| people? Yes | ship have | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| yes No | ship have | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| people? Yes | ship have | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| yes No Don't know | | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| yes No | | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| yes No Don't know | | a dedi | icated bu | dget for | the invo | lvemen | nt of y |
| people? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann | um) | | | | | | nt of y |
| yes No Don't know | um) | | | | | | nt of y |
| People? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors To what extent do you agree or | um) assist | ing o | r const | rainin | g deliv | ery | |
| People? Yes No Den't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors | um) assist | ing o | r const | rainin | g deliv | ery | |
| People? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors To what extent do you agree or | um) assist | ing o | e followi | rainin | g delív ments: y | ery | |
| People? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors To what extent do you agree or | um) assist disagree | ing or | e following Neither agree nor | rainin ng state | g deliv | ery our Tal | ent M |
| People? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors To what extent do you agree or Partnership has | um) assist disagree | ing o | e following Neither agree | rainin ng state | g deliv ments: y Strongly | ery our Tal | ent M |
| People? Yes No Don't know What is this budget? (£ per ann Section 3: Factors To what extent do you agree or | um) assist disagree | ing or | e following Neither agree nor | rainin ng state | g deliv ments: y Strongly Disagre | ery our Tal | |

| The ability to reach hidden NEETs Good links with employers Support from the Big Lottery Fund Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Conflidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targe | Support from the Big Lottery Fund Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with 1bo Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has target | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------|
| Support from the Big Lottery Fund Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | Support from the Big Lottery Fund Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Conflidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has target | | - | | | | | |
| Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs. Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targe | | | | | | | |
| the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / reighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | the Partnership Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted. | | | | | | | |
| delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | delivery approach Commissioned all necessary delivery partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted. | | | | | | | |
| partners Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has target | | | | | | | |
| Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully Successful involvement of young people people | | | | | | | |
| The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | The right number of young people involved Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted specific types of young people or young people or young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |
| Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |
| the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | the VCS Good links with the Work Programme Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted the wards / neighbourhoods the wards / neighbourhoods the wards / neighbourhoods / neighbourho | | | | | | | |
| Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Good links with Job Centre Plus Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |
| Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Good links with other labour market/employability programmes Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |
| Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targeted specific types of young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |
| Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | Targeted beneficiaries in specific ward / neighbourhoods Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targe | | | | | | | |
| people or young people with particular OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO | Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targe | | | | | | | |
| Please list the wards / neighbourhoods that your Talent Match Programme has targe | | | | | | | | |
| | | hoods | that your | Talent | Match Pr | rogramn | ne has ta | arget |
| | | | hoods | thoods that your | thoods that your Talent Match | s that your Talent Match Program | s that your Talent Match Programme has | |

| Which of the following client gr | roups is v | our Tale | nt Matcl | n Progra | mme tare | aetina? | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Long term unemployed | anna a | | | Tude v | Travellers | 30.00 | |
| People with physical disabilitie | s. | | Ref | ugees / as | sylum-seel | kers | |
| People with mental health issu | ies | 1 | Alco | ohol and/o | r substan | ce misus | se |
| Young parents | | | Low | educatio | nal attainn | nent | |
| Lone parents | | | Gra | duates | | | |
| Carers | | | Peo | ple with le | earning dif | ficulties | |
| Homeless | | | | ple with p | | nterests | (e.g. creati |
| Offenders / ex-offenders | | | Oth | | asic eic) | | |
| Black and Minority Ethnic (BM | E) | | | | | | |
| TOTAL OF THE COLD OF | | | | | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | | | | |
| Attiel hiedae abecily | | | | | | | |
| Anier, Mease sheerly | | | | | | | |
| | hich the f | ollowina | factors | have as | sisted or | constr | rained the |
| Please indicate the extent to wi levelopment and delivery of yo | | | | | | constr | rained the |
| Please indicate the extent to wi | our Talent | | | me up to | now? Seriousl | constr | rained the |
| Please indicate the extent to wi | Greatly assisted | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| Please indicate the extent to wi | Greatly assisted | Match P | rogram | me up to Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | | |
| Please indicate the extent to where the extent to what the extent to where the extent | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| Please indicate the extent to will be received and delivery of your state of the second secon | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| Please indicate the extent to whevelopment and delivery of your control of the extent to whether the extent to whether the extent to whether the extent to whom the e | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| lease indicate the extent to whevelopment and delivery of your country of data on local needs of idden NEETS bility to employ staff with sufficient kills bility to retain staff / staff turnover ingagement with the Work rogramme | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| Please indicate the extent to where evelopment and delivery of your control of the extent to where evelopment and delivery of your control of the extent to what it is a subject to the extent of the | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |
| Please indicate the extent to whevelopment and delivery of your control of the co | Greatly assisted delivery | Match P | rogram | Constrai | Seriousl y constr ained | Don't | Not appl |

| Lead-in time to Programme launch | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Delivery arrangements across the Partnership | | | | | | | |
| Level of cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | | | | 0 |
| Involvement of young people | | | | | | | |
| The number of young people involved | | | | | | | |
| Funding cuts in other parts of the VCS locally | | | | | | | |
| The local economy / labour market | | | | | | | |
| The targeting of beneficiaries in specific wards / neighbourhoods | | | | | | | |
| The targeting of specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | 0 | | | | | | |
| The national Programme wide evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Your local evaluation | | | | | | | 0 |
| | factor | s identif | ied abov | e have o | constrai | ned or a | ssiste |
| | e factor | s identif | ied abov | ve have d | constrai | ned or a | ssiste |
| Please expand further on how the delivery so far: Please list the three main factors delivery (these do not have to be | which | have as: | sisted yo | our Taler | nt Match | | |
| Please list the three main factors | which | have as: | sisted yo | our Taler | nt Match | | |

| <u> </u> | |
|--|--|
| | |
| Cantin | - A. Outoute and suphretine |
| Sectio | n 4: Outputs and evaluation |
| How many young people have Programme began? | ve started on the Talent Match Programme in total since th |
| | |
| | |
| Common Data Framework? | ership used data collected by the national evaluation's |
| Yes | |
| No, but planning to | |
| No No | |
| | |
| Don't know | |
| Don't know | |
| Please explain in the box be | low how your Talent Match Partnership has used or will us |
| Please explain in the box be | low how your Talent Match Partnership has used or will us ional evaluation's Common Data Framework? |
| Please explain in the box be | |
| Please explain in the box be | |
| Please explain in the box be | |
| Please explain in the box be | |
| Please explain in the box be the data collected by the nat | |
| Please explain in the box be the data collected by the nat | ional evaluation's Common Data Framework? |
| Please explain in the box be the data collected by the nat only the national nations of the national na | ional evaluation's Common Data Framework? |
| Please explain in the box be the data collected by the nat On a scale of 1 to 5 how use being not useful at all? | ional evaluation's Common Data Framework? |
| Please explain in the box be the data collected by the nat On a scale of 1 to 5 how use being not useful at all? | ional evaluation's Common Data Framework? |

Section 5: Employer engagement

| | | as your Taler | ,, | 3 | 3-3 |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| rs is your Ta | lent Match | Programme | currently e | ngaged wit | h? |
| | | 3 - 3-2000-3 | | | |
| | | | ent Match F | Programme | has engage |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| he following | | 2311 | | rogramme | has engage |
| | Primary reason | Secondary reason | Minor | Not at all | Don't know |
| Core ommittee | | | | | |
| ps delivery | | | | | |
| tch events workshops) | | | | | |
| out attributes | | | | | |
| nent | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| nts | | | | | |
| s | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | types of empegraphic coverage of the following Core of the committee ps delivery atch events workshops) out attributes the content of the content of the content of the coverage of the covera | types of employers who graphic coverage and state of the following reasons were asson to the following reason to the following reasons were asson to the following reason to t | types of employers which your Tale ographic coverage and sector) the following reasons why your Tale Primary Secondary reason Core ommittee ps delivery tich events (workshops) out attributes (e) nent | types of employers which your Talent Match For and sector) the following reasons why your Talent match Primary Secondary Minor reason reason reason (Core ommittee ps delivery (Core ownstate) (Core ownstate | he following reasons why your Talent match Programme Primary Secondary Minor reason reason Not at all Core primittee ps delivery of the events workshops) Put attributes enent of the events reason |

| VCS employers Please list the three main po | ositive aspects | s of your e | ngageme | ent with e | mployers' | , |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|---|
| Please list the three main <u>ne</u> | <u>eqative</u> aspect | s of your e | engagem | ent with e | mployers | ? |
| | | | | | | |
| Se Do you have any further cor | ection 6: Fi | nal com | ments | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below.





Talent Match Partnership Sheffield Hallam University Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research

This survey collects common information from all Talent Match Partnerships. We have conducted this survey twice before to capture change as the programme progressed. As we enter the final stages of delivery of the Talent Match Programme we are now conducting the survey for a final time. Responses will be treated as confidential and will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 6290).

Many thanks

Section 1: Talent Match Partnerships

Please confirm if the organisations listed below are currently part of your Core Talent Match Partnership? Please delete any organisations which are not part of the Core Partnership or are only part of Delivery Partnerships and add in any organisations which have been missed out.

| ea | | |
|----|--|-----|
| d. | | - 1 |
| t. | | |
| 2. | | |
| 3. | | = [|
| 4. | | |
| 5, | | |
| 6. | | |
| 7. | | |
| 8. | | 71 |

| 9. | |
|-----|----|
| 10. | |
| 11. | |
| 12. | |
| 13. | |
| 14. | |
| 15. | |
| 16. | |
| 17. | |
| 18. | |
| 19. | |
| 20. | |
| 21. | |
| 22. | |
| 23. | |
| 24. | |
| 25. | |
| 26. | |
| 27. | |
| 28. | |
| 29. | _ |
| 30. | |
| 31. | 12 |
| 32. | |
| 33. | |
| 34. | |
| 35. | |
| 36. | |
| 37. | |
| 38, | |
| 39. | |
| 40. | |

| How many full time equivalent s organisations are currently men funded by the Talent Match Progra time job would be one FTE and on below will only accept a numeric or letters. | nbers of amme) To ne who we | your Co help cal orked ha | re Talent culate the If time wo | Match le FTE fig | Partners gures: on .5. Pleas | hip team e worker e note: t | in one full |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| What do you believe to have been project? | en the <u>th</u> | ree mos | t importa | ınt aspe | ects of yo | our Talen | t Match |
| | | | | | | | |
| Section 2: In | C min | | - | | 1000 | ne follow | ina |
| elements has assisted or constr Programme up to now: | | | | | | | |
| Membership of the Core Partnership group or committee | | Greatly assisted | Assisted delivery | Neutral | ned | Seriously constrained delivery | Don't know |
| Management of the Talent Match Partnership and/or service delivery | | | | | | | |
| Delivering services | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation, research and gathering eedback | | | | | | | |
| Marketing | | | | | | | |
| Media and dissemination | | | | | | | |
| Engaging other young people/Outreach work | | | | | | | |
| Commissioning of services | | | | | | | |

| previous question where relevant): | |
|---|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Does your Talent Match Partnership programme, including as apprentic | o employ any young people who are beneficiaries of the |
| Yes | |
| ■ No | |
| | |
| Don't know | |
| pprentices, who are beneficiaries | Talent Match Partnership currently employ, including of the programme? Please note: the box below will onlot insert any symbols (e.g. £ or commas) or letters. |
| | |
| | |
| Ooes your Talent Match Partnership people? | have a dedicated budget for the involvement of young |
| Yes | |
| No No | |
| Dan't know | |
| | Please note: the box below will only accept a any symbols (e.g. £ or commas) or letters. |
| | The state of the s |
| | |

Section 3: Factors assisting or constraining delivery

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: your Talent Match Partnership has...

| Farthership has | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Good quality data on the local needs of hidden NEETS | Strongly agree | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagre e | Strongly Disagre e | Don't know | Not applicable |
| Employed staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | |
| Retained staff | | | | | | | |
| The ability to reach hidden NEETs | | | | | | | |
| Good links with employers | | | | | | | |
| Support from the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | |
| Good delivery arrangements across the Partnership | | | | | | | |
| Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | | | | |
| Commissioned all necessary delivery partners | | | | | | | |
| Confidence in commissioned delivery partners to deliver your programme successfully | | | | | | | |
| Successful involvement of young people | | | | | | | |
| The right number of young people involved | | | | | | | |
| Been affected by cuts in other parts of the VCS | | | | | | | |
| Good links with Jobcentre Plus | | | | | | | |
| Good links with other labour market/employability programmes | | | | | | | |
| Targeted specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | | | | | | | |

| Long term unemployed | Gypsies and Travellers |
|--|---|
| People with physical disabilities | Refugees / asylum-seekers |
| People with mental health issues | Alcohol and/or substance misuse |
| Young parents | Low educational attainment |
| Lone parents | Graduates |
| Carers | People with learning difficulties |
| Homeless | People with particular interests (e.g. cre industries, music etc) |
| Offenders / ex-offenders | Other |
| Black and Minority Ethnic (BME) | |
| er, please specify | |
| | |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv | For example did you change any delivery partn ering any types of services/activities or change |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv | ch has your partnership changed any of the reference of the partnership change any delivery partnership any types of services/activities or change and? |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? |
| which services/activities are delivered? d yourself and/or partners stop or start delivered; lys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No hat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? If yourself and/or partners stop or start delivered in which services/activities were allocated in which services/activities | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? d yourself and/or partners stop or start delivered; lys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No hat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No nat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No nat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No nat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? I yourself and/or partners stop or start deliv ys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No nat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |
| which services/activities are delivered? d yourself and/or partners stop or start delivered; lys in which services/activities were allocate Yes No hat did your partnership change, why did | For example did you change any delivery partnering any types of services/activities or change ed? d you make this change(s) and at what stage |

| Improved a lot | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-------------------|--------|------------|--|
| Improved a little | | | | | | | | |
| Made no difference | | | | | | | | |
| Worsened a little | | | | | | | | |
| Worsened a lot | | | | | | | | |
| Oon't know | | | | | | | | |
| Please indicate the extent to whi development and delivery of you | ır Talent | | | me up to | now? Seriousl | constr | rained the | |
| | | Assisted | Managed | ned | y constr ained | Don't | Not appl | |
| Quality of data on local needs of hidden NEETS | delivery | delivery | Neutrai | delivery | delivery | know | icable | |
| Ability to employ staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to retain staff / staff turnover | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with the Work Programme | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with Jobcentre Plus | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with other labour market programmes | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to reach hidden NEETs | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with employers | | | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery arrangements across the Partnership | | | | | | | | |
| Level of cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Involvement of young people | | | | | | | | |
| The number of young people involved | | | | | | | | |
| Funding cuts in other parts of the VCS locally | | | | | | | | |
| The local economy / labour market | | | | | | | | |
| The targeting of specific types of young people or young people with particular needs | | | | | | | | |

To what extent do you think the changes made improved or worsened how Talent Match

services/activities are delivered by your partnership?

| he national Programme wide valuation | | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------|---------|
| our local evaluation | | | | | | | |
| ease expand further on how elivery so far: | v the factor | s identif | ied abov | e have o | constrai | ned or a | ssisted |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ease list the three main fact elivery (these do not have to | tors which be taken f | have <u>ass</u> rom the | sisted yo | ur Taler questic | nt Match | Partner | ship in |
| ease list the three main fact elivery (these do not have to | tors which be taken f | have <u>ass</u> rom the | sisted yo previous | ur Taler questic | nt Match on): | Partner | ship in |
| ease list the three main fact elivery (these do not have to | tors which be taken f | have <u>ass</u> rom the | sisted yo previous | ur Taler questic | nt Match on): | Partner | ship in |
| lease list the three main fact elivery (these do not have to | tors which be taken f | have <u>ass</u> rom the | sisted yo previous | ur Taler questic | nt Match on): | Partner | ship in |
| lease list the three main fact elivery (these do not have to | tors which be taken f | have <u>ass</u> rom the | sisted you | ur Taler questic | nt Match on): | Partner | ship in |
| elivery (these do not have to | be taken f | have co | previous | d your T | on): | | |
| elivery (these do not have to | be taken f | have co | previous | d your T | on): | | |
| Please list the three main fact lelivery (these do not have to Please list the three main fact lelivery (these do not have to | be taken f | have co | previous | d your T | on): | | |

| | Knowledge of staff within the Lead Partner organisation |
|---------------------------|--|
| | Young People |
| | Big Lottery Fund |
| | Evaluation team |
| | Official government statistics |
| | Local data gathered by the partnership |
| | Partner organisations |
| | International reports on youth unemployment |
| | Formal evidence of previous youth employment programmes |
| | |
| | |
| | Section 4: Outputs |
| | Section 4: Outputs use note: the boxes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert and bols (e.g. £ or commas) or letters. |
| How | se note: the boxes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert an |
| How | ise note: the boxes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert an bols (e.g. £ or commas) or letters. I many young people have started on the Talent Match Programme in total since t |
| How Prog How emp | ise note: the boxes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert an bols (e.g. £ or commas) or letters. I many young people have started on the Talent Match Programme in total since t |

| | Section 5: Employer engagement |
|--------------------------------|--|
| | occition of Employer engagement |
| Since its inception | n how many employers has your Talent Match Programme engaged w |
| Total number of employers | |
| How many employ | yers is your Talent Match Programme currently engaged with? |
| Current number of employers | |
| employers | |
| Please list the thr | ee main positive aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | - 1 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Please list the thr | ee main negative aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main negative aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main <u>negative</u> aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| Please list the thr | ee main negative aspects of your engagement with employers? |
| | ee main negative aspects of your engagement with employers? |

| es, please provide details i.e. amount | source / period of funding in the box below: |
|--|---|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| are you currently seeking funding to ramme? Please give details in the be | continue any aspects of the Talent Match |
| gramme? Please give details in the be | ox below: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| any of the following apply to your Tale | ent Match programme? |
| The Talent Match partnership will remain in | n place to deliver employment support to young people |
| and the second s | together to provide employment support to young people |
| but the Talent Match partnership will no for | |
| We have incorporated aspects of the Taler | nt Match model into our wider employment support offer |
| Local partners have incorporated aspects offer | of the Talent Match model into their employment support |
| Young people will continue to influence the | delivery of employment support locally |
| There are no mechanisms locally to contin | ue any aspects of Talent Match provision |
| | |

| | n your answers | 33 | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ectations o oramme ac | f working on the ain would you b | programme me e interested in l | etr if the oppor being involved | tunity arose to o | eliver a similar |
| gi teritire eg | | | | | |
| 3, | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 3,000 | | | | | |
| 3, | | | | | |
| 3,000 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below,

Appendix 4: Delivery partner surveys



2014





This survey collects common information from Talent Match Delivery Partners. The survey will be conducted on an ongoing basis to capture change as the programme progresses. The survey will help us understand who is delivering support across the Programme, the nature of this provision and the experience of Partners in delivering these services. Learning from the survey will be shared to improve practice across the Talent Match Programme.

The results will be confidential and only anonymised and aggregated data will be used in reporting. Responses will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 3539).

What is the name of your organisation? Which sector is your organisation from? Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations)

| Less than £10,000 | |
|--|---|
| £10,000 but less than £100,000 | |
| £100,000 but less than £500,000 | |
| £500,000 but less than £1,000,000 | |
| £1,000,000 but less than £5,000,000 | |
| £5,000,000 but less than £10,000,000 | |
| £10,000,000 but less than £15,000,000 | |
| £15,000,000 but less than £20,000,000 | |
| £20,000,000 or more | |
| | |
| at is the <u>main</u> geographic level at which y | our organisation carries out its activities |
| Particular neighbourhoods/communities | |
| Particular Local Authorities/Local Enterprise Particular Local Authorities | artnership |
| Regionally | |
| A STATE OF THE STA | |
| Nationally | |
| Nationally Internationally | |
| Internationally ch of the following best describes the makes? Select up to 2 categories only. Employment services: not age targeted Employment services: young people | Accommodation and housing (including |
| Internationally ch of the following best describes the makes? Select up to 2 categories only. Employment services: not age targeted Employment services: young people (under 25) | Accommodation and housing (including homelessness and tenants and resident groups) Religious and faith-based activity |
| ch of the following best describes the maks? Select up to 2 categories only. Employment services: not age targeted Employment services: young people (under 25) Youth Work Enterprise Community development (including work with communities to tackle inequalities and disadvantage) Sport and leisure (including competitive and recreational activities) Health and well-being (e.g. medical, sickness, disability, mental health, substance misuse) | Accommodation and housing (including homelessness and tenants and resident groups) Religious and faith-based activity Equalities and civil rights (e.g. gender, race, disability, age) Arts, heritage and culture (including museums, parks, historic places, music; and raising cultural awareness) Criminal justice Campaigning and lobbying Transport (e.g. community transport) |
| ch of the following best describes the maks? Select up to 2 categories only. Employment services: not age targeted Employment services: young people (under 25) Youth Work Enterprise Community development (including work with communities to tackle inequalities and disadvantage) Sport and leisure (including competitive and recreational activities) Health and well-being (e.g. medical, sickness, disability, mental health, | Accommodation and housing (including homelessness and tenants and resident groups) Religious and faith-based activity Equalities and civil rights (e.g. gender, race, disability, age) Arts, heritage and culture (including museums, parks, historic places, music; and raising cultural awareness) Criminal justice Campaigning and lobbying |

| | Section 2: Talent Match |
|---|--|
| s your organisation a me | ember of the Talent Match Partnership Core Strategic Partnership |
| Yes | |
| No No | |
| On what basis is your org | ganisation paid by the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Talent? |
| Fixed guaranteed amou | unt/ grant |
| By caseload | |
| By outcome e.g. Payme | ent by results |
| Other, including a comb | |
| | |
| Other, please specify | |
| | |
| | |
| | Section 3: Delivery |
| Pre-employment preparat Initial Assessment IAG | Specialist support Therapeutic support (e.g. |
| Basic skills | counselling) |
| Soft skills (or life | Peer mentoring |
| skills e.g. confidence building) | Job search |
| | Other |
| Employability skills | |
| | |
| Other, please specify | |
| | |
| Other, please specify | aration (pre-employment) |
| Other, please specify In-work training and prep Pre-enterprise | paration (pre-employment) Structured |
| In-work training and prep Pre-enterprise advice and support Work experience / | Structured Volunteering |
| Other, please specify In-work training and prep Pre-enterprise advice and support | Structured Volunteering Internships |
| In-work training and prep Pre-enterprise advice and support Work experience / | Structured Volunteering |
| n-work training and prep Pre-enterprise advice and support Work experience / | Structured Volunteering Internships |

| Job brokerage | Work experience / placements / | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|
| Tuesday we se entire | Internships | |
| Employer mentors | Other | |
| ther, please specify | | |
| mployment | | |
| Direct employment | To start assessed | |
| on project | In-work support | |
| Job creation / ILM / supported | Employer subsidies | |
| employment | Other | |
| Other, please specify | | |
| | | |
| eferrals from ther rganisations %) outreach by your | | |
| rganisation (%) | | |
| xisting/previous tents (%) | | |
| rop-in open coess facility %) | | |
| darketing and dvertising (%) | | |
| eer contacts %) | | |
| vents (%) | | |
| Other (%) | | |
| | of softensil. | |
| lease state the main sources | orreterrals | _ |
| lease state the main sources | or referrals | |

| The second secon | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|
| Designing methods of service d | elivery | | | | | | |
| Delivering services | | | | | | | |
| Management of service delivery | | | | | | | |
| Marketing and advertising | | | | | | | |
| Media and dissemination | | | | | | | |
| Engaging other young people/O | utreach | | | | | | |
| Other | ,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | |
| Onier | | | | | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Se | ction 4 | : Pro | gress | | | | |
| | | | AN EASTER | | Va. 10 | | |
| Has your organisation started w | orking w | ith you | ng peopl | e throug | h Talent | Match | funding |
| Yes | | | | | | | |
| ○ No | | | | | | | |
| No. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| When did you first support a you | ing perso | on thro | ugh Tale | nt Match | i fundina | 2 | |
| and the same of th | | -10 Mar 17 | 3 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| HT | | AC 600 D | • | | randing | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| How many young people, in tota | | ur orga | nisation | | | | ervices |
| | | ur orga | nisation | | | | ervices |
| How many young people, in tota | | ur orga | nisation | | | | ervices |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? | provide | d activitie | es or se | ervices |
| How many young people, in tota | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? | provide | d activitie | es or se | ervices |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? | provide | d activitie | es or se | ervices |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up Section 5: Factors at To what extent do you agree or company to the street of the street section 5. | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? d cons | provide trainir | d activition | es or se | |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up to Section 5: Factors a | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? d cons | provide trainir | d activition | es or se | |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up Section 5: Factors at To what extent do you agree or company to the street of the street section 5. | to 30 Sep | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? d cons | provide trainir | d activition | es or se | |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up Section 5: Factors at To what extent do you agree or company to the street of the street section 5. | to 30 Sep assistir disagree | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activition delivition delivitio | very | anisati |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up Section 5: Factors at To what extent do you agree or company to the street of the street section 5. | assistir disagree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activition delivition delivitio | very our org | anisatio |
| Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or chas Good quality data on local needs of | to 30 Sep assistir disagree | ur orga otembe | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very | anisatio |
| Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or chas Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) | assistir disagree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very our org | |
| Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or chas Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) Staff with sufficient skills to deliver | assistir disagree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very our org | anisatio |
| Section 5: Factors a Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or chas Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) Staff with sufficient skills to deliver Talent Match activities/services | assistir disagree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very our org | anisatio |
| Section 5: Factors a Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or chas Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) Staff with sufficient skills to deliver Talent Match activities/services Retained staff The ability to reach your Talent Match | assistindisagree Strongly agree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very our org | anisatio |
| Section 5: Factors a Section 5: Factors a To what extent do you agree or o has Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) Staff with sufficient skills to deliver Talent Match activities/services Retained staff | assistindisagree Strongly agree | ur orga otembe ng and with the | nisation r 2014? d cons e followin | provide training ng state | d activitients delivitients: yes | very our org | anisati Not ap |

| Support from the Big Lottery Fund | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Support from the Core Partnership team | | | | |
| Good delivery arrangements with the Talent Match Partnership | | | | |
| Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | |
| Successful involvement of young people | | | | |
| The right number of young people involved | | | | |
| Been affected by funding cuts to other parts of your organisation | | | | |
| Good links with the Work Programme | | | | |
| Good links with Job Centre Plus | | | | |
| Good links with other labour market/employability programmes | | | | |

Please indicate the extent to which the following factors have assisted or constrained the development and delivery of your Partnership's Talent Match Programme up to now?

| Quality of data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) | delivery | Assisted delivery | Neutral | Constrai ned delivery | Seriousl y constr ained delivery | Don't know | Not applicable | |
|--|----------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|--|
| Staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to retain staff | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with the Work Programme | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with Job Centre Plus | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with other labour market programmes | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to reach your Talent Match target group(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with employers | | | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Core Partnership team | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-in time to delivering Talent Match activities/services | | | | | | | | |
| Delivery arrangements across the Partnership | | | | | | | | |
| Level of cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | | | | | |

| nvolvement of young people | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|
| The number of young people involved | | | | | | | |
| Funding cuts to other parts of your organisation | 0 | | | | | | |
| he local economy/labour market | | | | | | | |
| Please list the three main factors Please list the three main factors | | | | | | | |
| /hat do you think are main risks | facing | the deliv | ery of T | alent Ma | tch serv | rices by | your |
| rganisation? | | | 3724 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below.



Partner Survey

Sheffield Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research

This survey collects common information from Talent Match Delivery Partners. The survey will be conducted on an ongoing basis to capture change as the programme progresses. The survey will help us understand who is delivering support across the Programme, the nature of this provision and the experience of Partners in delivering these services. Learning from the survey will be shared to improve practice across the Talent Match Programme.

The results will be confidential and only anonymised and aggregated data will be used in reporting. Responses will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

If your organisation took part in the previous Talent Match Delivery Partner Survey in Autumn 2014 some of your responses will already have been automatically completed. Please verify and change these responses as applicable.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 3539).

Many thanks

Section 1: Organisation details

If your organisation took part in the previous Talent Match Delivery Partner Survey in Autumn 2014 some of your responses will already have been automatically completed. Please verify and change these responses as applicable.

| What is the name of your organisation? | |
|--|--|
| | |

| Whi | ch sector is your organisation from? |
|-----|---|
| | Private sector |
| | Public and statutory sector |
| | Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) |
| Wha | at was your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? |
| | Less than £10,000 |
| | £10,000 but less than £100,000 |
| | £100,000 but less than £500,000 |
| | £500,000 but less than £1,000,000 |
| | £1,000,000 but less than £5,000,000 |
| | £5,000,000 but less than £10,000,000 |
| | £10,000,000 but less than £15,000,000 |
| | £15,000,000 but less than £20,000,000 |
| | £20,000,000 or more |
| Wha | at is the main geographic level at which your organisation carries out its activities |
| | Particular neighbourhoods/communities |
| | Particular Local Authorities/Local Enterprise Partnership |
| | Regionally |
| 0 | Nationally |
| 0 | Internationally |

| Employment services: not age targeted | Accommodation and housing (including homelessness and tenants and residents |
|--|---|
| Employment services: young people | groups) |
| (under 25) | Religious and faith-based activity |
| Youth Work Enterprise | Equalities and civil rights (e.g. gender, race, disability, age) |
| Community development (including work with communities to tackle inequalities | Arts, heritage and culture (including museums, parks, historic places, music; and raising cultural awareness) |
| and disadvantage) | Criminal justice |
| Sport and lessure (including compelitive and recreational activities) | Campaigning and lobbying |
| Health and well-being (e.g., medical, sickness, disability, mental health, | |
| substance misuse) | Transport (e.g. community transport) |
| Social care (e.g. day services, respite, home care) Education, training and research | Capacity building and other support for voluntary or community organisations (including volunteers) |
| (including lifelong and adult learning) | Other |
| Environment and sustainability | |
| B-16-16-1 | |
| Section 2: T | alent Match |
| | alent Match It Match Partnership Core Strategic Partner |
| | |
| s your organisation a member of the Talen | |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No | nt Match Partnership Core Strategic Partner |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No | |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No | nt Match Partnership Core Strategic Partner |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No n what basis is your organisation paid by latch activities/services? | nt Match Partnership Core Strategic Partner |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No No n what basis is your organisation paid by latch activities/services? Fixed guaranteed amount/ grant | nt Match Partnership Core Strategic Partner |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No No what basis is your organisation paid by latch activities/services? Fixed guaranteed amount/ grant By caseload | t Match Partnership Core Strategic Partners the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Tal |
| your organisation a member of the Talen Yes No No No No No Private basis is your organisation paid by latch activities/services? Fixed guaranteed amount/ grant By caseload By outcome e.g. Payment by results Other, including a combination of the above | t Match Partnership Core Strategic Partners the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Tal |
| yes No No No No No No No No No N | t Match Partnership Core Strategic Partners the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Tal |
| Yes No No No No No No No No No N | t Match Partnership Core Strategic Partners the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Tal |

| No, we work with all yo | oung people |
|---|--|
| Yes | |
| hich of the following c | lient groups is your organisation specifically targeting: |
| Long term unemployed | Gypsies and Travellers |
| People with physical disabilities | Refugees/Asylum- seekers |
| People with mental health issues | Alcohol and/or substance misuse |
| Young parents | Low education attainment |
| Lone parents | Graduates |
| Carers | People with learning difficulties People with |
| Homeless Offenders/ex- | particular interests (e.g. creative |
| offenders Black and Minority | industries, music etc) |
| Ethnic (BME) | Other |
| ther, please specify | <u> </u> |
| | |
| | |
| | Section 3: Delivery |
| T APPLY | |
| T APPLY | g types of activities/services is your organisation delivering ation (outside workplace) Specialist support |
| T APPLY re-employment prepara Initial Assessment IAG | g types of activities/services is your organisation delivering ation (outside workplace) Specialist support Therapeutic support (e.g. |
| T APPLY re-employment prepara Initial Assessment IAG Basic skills | g types of activities/services is your organisation delivering ation (outside workplace) Specialist support Therapeutic support (e.g. counselling) |
| re-employment prepara Initial Assessment IAG | g types of activities/services is your organisation delivering ation (outside workplace) Specialist support Therapeutic support (e.g. |

| | ctured inteering | | | |
|---|--|--------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Work experience / Inter | nships | | | |
| placements | The Court of the C | | | |
| One of | -1 | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Engaging employers | | | | |
| Job brokerage Wor | k experience / ements / | | | |
| Employer meniors | nships | | | |
| Othe | er e | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Employment | | | | |
| Direct employment | ork support | | | |
| Job creation / ILM Emp | oloyer | | | |
| employment | sidies | | | |
| Othe | 31 | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| How important have each | | types of act | ivities∖services | s been for |
| How important have each ones your organisation has achiev | | types of act | ivitles\services | s been for |
| | ed so far? | January Can | | |
| nes your organisation has achiev | ed so far? tside workplac | e) | Not very | Not at a |
| nes your organisation has achiev | ed so far? | January Can | | Not at a |
| nes your organisation has achiev | ed so far? tside workplac | e) | Not very | Not at a |
| nes your organisation has achiev Pre-employment preparation (out | ed so far? tside workplac | e) | Not very | Not at a importar |
| Pre-employment preparation (our Initial Assessment | ed so far? tside workplace Very Important | e) | Not very | Not at a |
| Pre-employment preparation (our Initial Assessment IAG Basic skills (or life skills e.g. confidence | ed so far? tside workplace Very Important | e) | Not very | Not at a |
| Pre-employment preparation (our Initial Assessment IAG Basic skills Soft skills (or life skills e.g. confidence building) | ed so far? tside workplace Very Important | e) | Not very | Not at a |
| Pre-employment preparation (our Initial Assessment IAG Basic skills Soft skills (or life skills e.g. confidence building) | ed so far? tside workplace Very important | e) | Not very | Not at a |

| Job search | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| (O11a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In-work training and preparation | n (pre-employme | ent) | | |
| | Very important | Important | Not very important | Not at all important |
| Pre-enterprise advice and support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Work experience / placements | 0 | (_) | 0 | 0 |
| Structured Volunteering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Internships | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (O12a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Engaging employers | | | | |
| Job brokerage | Very important | Important. | Not very important | Not at all important |
| | 6 | ~ | 0 | 0 |
| Employer mentors Work experience / placements / | - 0 | 0 | 10 | _ 0 |
| internships | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |
| (Q13a) | 0 | \bigcirc | 0 | 0 |
| Employment | | | | |
| | Very important | Important | Not very important | Not at all important |
| Direct employment on project | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Job creation / ILM / supported employment | 0 | Q. | 0 | 0 |
| In-work support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employer subsidies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (Q14a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Approximately what proportion 31 March 2015, have been iden approximate percentage in the Heterrals from other organisations (%) | tified by each of | the following | strategies? (p | lease type the |

| Peer contacts (%) | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------|
| Events (%) | | | | | | | |
| Other (%) | | | | | | | |
| Please state the main sources of refe | errals | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | | | | |
| Otter, prease specify | | | | | | | |
| | - N. 165 | ur/Ucs | de viv | . h.u.s | Elsc. 17 | oko n | - No. |
| Has your organisation involved the Talent Match Programme so | | ople in | any of fo | ollowing | element | s of its | work on |
| Designing methods of service | | | | | | | |
| Delivering services | | | | | | | |
| Management of service deliver | ·v | | | | | | |
| Marketing and advertising | , | | | | | | |
| Media and dissemination | | | | | | | |
| | Outroade | | | | | | |
| Engaging other young people/ | Outreach | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Other, please specify | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| S | ection 4 | I- Pm | arace | | | | |
| 9 | ection | . F 10 | gress | | | | |
| How many young people, in tota since the Programme began up | | | | provide | d activitie | es or se | ervices to |
| since the Programme began up | 10 ST Mai | CH 201 | 31 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Section 5: Factors | assistir | ng an | d cons | trainir | ng deli | very | |
| To what extent do you agree or | disagree | with th | e followin | ng state | ments: y | our org | anisation |
| has | | | .000.00 | | | | 00000000 |
| | | | Neither | | Observer | | |
| | Strongly | | agree | Disagre | | Don't | Not appl |
| Good quality data on local needs of | agree | Agree | disagree | e | e | know | icable |
| your Talent Match target group(s) Staff with sufficient skills to deliver | | - | | - | | - | - |
| Talent Match activities/services | | | | | | 0 | |
| Retained staff | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| The ability to reach your Talent Match target group(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Good links with employers | | | | |
| Support from the Big Lottery Fund | | | | |
| Support from the Core Partnership team | | | | |
| Good delivery arrangements with the Talent Match Partnership | | | | |
| Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | |
| Successful involvement of young people | | | | |
| The right number of young people involved | | | | |
| Been affected by funding cuts to other parts of your organisation | | | | |
| Good links with the Work Programme | | | | |
| Good links with Job Centre Plus | | | | |
| Good links with other labour market/employability programmes | | | | |

Please indicate the extent to which the following factors have assisted or constrained the development and delivery of your Partnership's Talent Match Programme up to now?

| Quality of data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) | Greatly assisted delivery | Assisted delivery | Neutral | Constrai ned delivery | Seriousl y constr ained delivery | Don't know | Not applicable | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|--|
| Staff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to retain staff / staff turnover | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with the Work Programme | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with Job Centre Plus | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with other labour market programmes | | | | | | | | |
| Ability to reach your Talent Match target group(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Engagement with employers | | | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Relationship with the Core Partnership team | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-in time to delivering Talent Match activities/services | | | | | | | | |

| Level of cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach (Involvement of young people (Involvement of young people (Involvement of young people (Involved (Involvement of young people involved (Involvement of young people | n delivery approach involvement of young people the number of young people involved unding cuts to other parts of your rganisation the local economy/labour market | o hich h | ave ass | o o o o sisted yo | 00000 | | 00000 | |
|---|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| The number of young people involved Funding cuts to other parts of your organisation The local economy/labour market Please list the three main factors which have assisted your organisation in delivery (do not have to be taken from the previous question): Please list the three main factors which have constrained your organisation in delivery. | he number of young people involved unding cuts to other parts of your rganisation he local economy/labour market | ohich hrevious | ave ass | o o o sisted yo | 0000 | | 0000 | |
| Funding cuts to other parts of your organisation The local economy/labour market Please list the three main factors which have assisted your organisation in delivery (do not have to be taken from the previous question): Please list the three main factors which have constrained your organisation in delivery. | unding cuts to other parts of your rganisation he local economy/labour market | o hich h | ave ass | o o o sisted yo | 0 0 0 | 0 | 000 | |
| Please list the three main factors which have assisted your organisation in delivery (do not have to be taken from the previous question): | rganisation he local economy/labour market lease list the three main factors w | which h | nave ass | eisted yo | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Please list the three main factors which have <u>assisted</u> your organisation in delivery (do not have to be taken from the previous question): Please list the three main factors which have <u>constrained</u> your organisation in delivery | lease list the three main factors w | which h | nave <u>ass</u> s questi | eisted yo | | | | (|
| do not have to be taken from the previous question): Please list the three main factors which have constrained your organisation in delive | lease list the three main factors wo o not have to be taken from the pr | vhich h reviou: | ave <u>ass</u> s questi | sisted yo | | | | |
| | | | | Unj. | | | | |
| | | | | | | ganisat | ion in de | live |
| | | | | | | | | 4 |
| | | | | 5.775 | 1 - 5 - | 70 | 200 | _ |
| | rganisation? Please make referen | acing to | he deliv whether | these a | alent Ma re short, | tch serv mediun | ices by or long | your ter |
| What do you think are main risks facing the delivery of Talent Match services by your organisation? Please make reference to whether these are short, medium or long terfactors. | | | | | | | | |

Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below.



Partner Survey

Talent Match Delivery Sheffield Hallam University University

This survey collects common information from Talent Match Delivery Partners. We have conducted this survey twice before to capture change as the programme progressed. As we enter the final stages of delivery of the Talent Match Programme we are now conducting the survey for a final time. The survey will help us understand who has delivered support across the Programme, the nature of this provision and the experience of Partners in delivering these services.

The results will be confidential and only anonymised and aggregated data will be used in reporting. Responses will not be shared beyond the research team.

The questionnaire should not take long to fill in. To navigate around the survey use the pink buttons at the bottom of the page. Most of the questions ask you to select a single box or offer multiple choice options. Some questions ask for an answer to be typed in.

The questionnaire saves your responses automatically so you can return at a later point if you aren't able to complete it all at once.

If your organisation took part in the previous Talent Match Delivery Partner Survey in 2015 some of your responses will already have been automatically completed. Please verify and change these responses as applicable.

Don't forget to click 'submit' when you reach the end of the survey.

If you have any questions or issues when completing the survey please contact Elizabeth Sanderson (e.sanderson@shu.ac.uk; 0114 225 6290).

Delivering Talent Match Is your organisation still delivering Talent Match services/activities? Yes No. What is the name of your organisation?

| up? | at proportion of your overall delivery of services/activities does/did Talent Match take- |
|-----|--|
| 0 | Less than 10% |
| C | At least 10% but less than 20% |
| 0 | At least 20% but less than 50% |
| 0 | At least 50% but less than 75% |
| 0 | At least 75% but less than 100% |
| 0 | 100% |
| O | Don't know/not sure |
| | |
| org | at impact has no longer delivering Talent Match services/activities had on your anisation? For example has there been a change in your income, staffing levels or service very? Has you organisation struggled in any way or was stopping delivery of Talent Match a litive development in some aspects? |
| | |

| | Section 1: Organisation details |
|------|--|
| A711 | these responses as applicable. |
| What | is the name of your organisation? |
| | |
| | |
| | h sector is your organisation from? |
| | h sector is your organisation from? Private sector |
| | |
| | Private sector |
| | Private sector Public and statutory sector |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) was your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) was your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? Less than £10,000 |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) was your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? Less than £10,000 £10,000 but less than £100,000 |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) twas your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? Less than £10,000 £10,000 but less than £100,000 |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) twas your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? Less than £10,000 £10,000 but less than £100,000 £100,000 but less than £500,000 £500,000 but less than £1,000,000 |
| What | Private sector Public and statutory sector Third sector (including voluntary, community and social enterprise organisations) twas your organisation's income in its most recent financial year? Less than £10,000 £10,000 but less than £100,000 £100,000 but less than £500,000 £500,000 but less than £1,000,000 £1,000,000 but less than £5,000,000 |

| What is the main geographic level at which y | our organisation carries out its activities |
|--|---|
| Particular neighbourhoods/communities | |
| Particular Local Authorities/Local Enterprise Pa | artnership |
| Regionally | |
| Nationally | |
| Internationally | |
| Which of the following best describes the ma works? Select up to 2 categories only. | Accommodation and housing (including |
| Employment services: not age targeted Employment services: young people | homelessness and tenants and residents groups) |
| (under 25) Youth Work | Religious and faith-based activity |
| Enterprise Community development (including work with communities to tackle inequalities and disadvantage) Sport and leisure (including competitive and recreational activities) Health and well-being (e.g. medical, sickness, disability, mental health, substance misuse) Social care (e.g. day services, respite, home care) Education, training and research (including lifelong and adult learning) Environment and sustainability | Equalities and civil rights (e.g. gender. race, disability, age) Arts, heritage and culture (including museums, parks, historic places, music; and raising cultural awareness) Criminal justice Campaigning and lobbying Transport (e.g. community transport) Capacity building and other support for voluntary or community organisations (including volunteers) Other |
| Other, please specify | |
| Section 2: Tale | ent Match |
| Is your organisation a member of the Talent I | Match Partnership Core Strategic Partnershi |
| ● No | |

| Match activities/services' | ganisation paid by the Talent Match Partnership to deliver Talent? |
|--|--|
| Fixed guaranteed amou | unt/ grant |
| By caseload | |
| By outcome e.g. Payme | ent by results |
| By activity/services deli | |
| Other, including a comb | |
| Other, including a confic | initiation of the above |
| Other, please specify | |
| | |
| | |
| | ifically targeting delivery of Talent Match activities/services at ung people |
| particular client groups? | |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following clitargeting we mean your orgethese groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental health issues | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylum-seekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following cli argeting we mean your org these groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylum-seekers Alcohol and/or |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following cli argeting we mean your org hese groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental health issues | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylumseekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse Low education attainment Graduates |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following cli targeting we mean your org these groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental fiealth issues Young parents | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylumseekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse Low education attainment Graduates People with |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following cli argeting we mean your org hese groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental fiealth issues Young parents Lone parents | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By ganisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylumseekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse Low education attainment Graduates People with learning difficulties People with |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following clitargeting we mean your orgethese groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental health issues Young parents Lone parents Carers Homeless Offenders/ex- | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylumseekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse Low education attainment Graduates People with learning difficulties People with particular interests (e.g. creative |
| No, we work with all you Yes Which of the following clitargeting we mean your orgethese groups. Long term unemployed People with physical disabilities People with mental health issues Young parents Lone parents Carers Homeless | ient groups is your organisation specifically targeting? By panisation's outreach activities and services are specifically targeted a Gypsies and Travellers Refugees/Asylumseekers Alcohol and/or substance misuse Low education attainment Graduates People with learning difficulties People with particular interests |

Section 3: Delivery

| | what extent does your organisation have independence from the lead partner over how u chose to deliver Talent Match services/activities? |
|-----|---|
| | Total independence |
| 0 | Some Independence |
| 0 | No independence |
| | sich of the following are the two most important factors in determining which young ople you will support? |
| | Advice of a key worker |
| | Characteristics of the young person |
| | Matching your skills to the needs of the young person |
| | Specified requirement in your Talent Match contract |
| | Referrals |
| | Taking on any young person who approaches the organisation |
| | Other |
| Oth | ner, please specify |
| 1 | |
| Ho | w do you determine how much support a young person receives? |
| 0 | All young people receive the same support |
| | We are able to be completely flexible |
| | We tailor support to the needs of the young person up to a certain amount |
| 0 | We offer defined levels of support depending on the needs of the young person |
| | |

Which of the following types of activities/services is your organisation delivering? TICK ALL THAT APPLY

| Initial Assessment | Specialist support | |
|--|--|--|
| Emelorment | | |
| Employment information advice and guidance | Therapeutic support (e.g. counselling) | |
| Basic skills | Peer mentoring | |
| Soft skills (e.g. contidence building) Employability skills | Job search (including CV writing and interview preparation) | |
| | Other | |
| ther, please specify | | |
| | | |
| Manager and the second | NATIONAL AND DESCRIPTION | |
| and the same of th | paration (pre-employment) | |
| Pre-enterprise advice and support | Structured Volunteering | |
| Work experience / placements | Internships | |
| piacements | Other | |
| | 00101 | |
| | | |
| ther, please specify | | |
| ther, please specify | | |
| ther, please specify | | |
| | | |
| | Work experience / | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage | Work experience / placements / internships | |
| ngaging employers | placements / | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors | placements / internships | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage | placements / internships | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors | placements / internships | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors | placements / internships | |
| ngaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors ther, please specify mployment Direct employment | placements / internships | |
| Ingaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors ther, please specify imployment Direct employment on projects | placements / internships Other In-work support | |
| Ingaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors ther, please specify imployment Direct employment on projects Job creation / Intermediate | placements / internships Other In-work support Employer subsidies (e.g. | |
| Ingaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors ther, please specify imployment Direct employment on projects Job creation / | In-work support Employer subsidies (e.g. wage subsidies) | |
| Ingaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors ther, please specify Imployment Direct employment on projects Job creation / Intermediate labour market / | placements / internships Other In-work support Employer subsidies (e.g. | |
| Ingaging employers Job brokerage Employer mentors Ther. please specify Imployment Direct employment on projects Job creation / Intermediate labour market / supported | In-work support Employer subsidies (e.g. wage subsidies) | |

How important have each of the following types of activities services been for the outcomes your organisation has achieved so far?

| Initial Assessment | Very important | Important | Not very important | Not at a |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Employment information advice and | lan. | - | | - |
| guidance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Basic skills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Soft skills (e.g. confidence building) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employability skills | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Specialist support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Therapeutic support (e.g. counselling) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peer mentoring | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Job search (including GV writing and interview preparation) | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 |
| (O11a) | 0 | 0 | Q. | 0 |
| In-work training and preparation | (pre-employme | ent) | | |
| | Very important | Important | Not very important | Not at a importa |
| Pre-enterprise advice and support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work experience / placements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Structured Volunteering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Internships | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |
| (Q12a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Engaging employers | | | | |
| | Very Important | Important | Not very important | Not at a |
| Job brokerage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employer mentors | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Work experience / placements / internships | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| (Q13a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employment | | | ** | 6147/25 |
| | Very important | Important | Not very important | Not at a importa |
| Direct employment on projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Job creation / Intermediate labour market / supported employment | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 |
| In-work support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Employer subsidies (e.g. wage subsidies) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| (Q14a) | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| During the course of de ways in which you deli- types of services/activities | ver services/active | vities? For | example did | you stop or sta | art delivering any |
| Yes | | | | | |
| O No | | | | | |
| What did your organisa the delivery of the prog | | | | nange(s) and a | t what stage in |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| To what extent do you services/activities are | | | | orsened how | Talent Match |
| Improved a lot | | | | | |
| Improved a little | | | | | |
| Made no difference | | | | | |
| Worsened a little | | | | | |
| Worsened a lot | | | | | |
| Don't know | | | | | |
| Approximately what pr date, have been identif approximate percentag Please note: the boxes | ied by each of the | e following to each ca | strategies ategory - th | ? (please type e total should | the add up to 100) |
| symbols (e.g. % or con | | iccept a ni | imerical res | sponse. Do no | I moen any |
| Referrals from other organisations (%) | | | | | |
| Outreach by your | | | | | |
| organisation (%) | | | | | |
| Existing/previous clients (%) | 2.0 | | | | |

| Please note: the box symbols (e.g. £ or co | tes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert any ommas) or letters. |
|--|--|
| How many young pe since the Programm | ople, in total, has your organisation provided activities or services to e began, to date? |
| | |
| employment in total or more / working less | cople you have provided activities or services to have secured since the Programme began? employment = working 16 hours per week at than 16 hours per week with caring responsibilities / childcare lity / ill health or education commitments which limit the number of hours mployed. |
| sustained employme | eople you have provided activities or services to have secured ent in total since the Programme began? sustained employment = ion above) for 6 months or self-employed for 12 months |
| key worker in your o | any Talent Match beneficiaries does a full time equivalent staff (FTE) organisation work with at a time? To help calculate: one worker in one full a FTE and one who worked half time would be 0.5. |
| date, have worked w the approximate per | proportion of the young people your organisation has worked with, to with your organisation for the following lengths of time? (please type centage in the box next to each category - the total should add up to e boxes below will only accept a numerical response. Do not insert any commas) or letters. |
| Less than one month (%) | |
| At least one month but less than three months (%) | |
| At least three months but less than six months (%) | |
| At least six months but less than nine months (%) | |
| At least nine months but less than 12 months (%) | |
| At least 12 months but less than 18 months (%) | |
| At least 18 months but less than 24 months (%) | |
| 24 months and over (%) | |
| Total (100%) | |

| Approxima | tely what proportion of the young people your organisation has worked with, to |
|-------------|---|
| date, have | received the following hours of support from the Talent Match programme? |
| (please typ | e the approximate percentage in the box next to each category - the total shoul |
| add up to | 00). The numbers of hours refers to their overall time on the programme and not per |
| week. | |

| Less than five hours (%) | |
|---|--|
| At least five hours but less than 10 hours (%) | |
| At least 10 hours but less than 20 hours (%) | |
| At least 20 hours but less than 50 hours (%) | |
| At least 50 hours but less than 100 hours (%) | |
| At least 100 hours but less than 200 hours (%) | |
| Over 200 hours (%) | |
| Total (100%) | |

Section 5: Factors assisting and constraining delivery

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements: your organisation has...

| Good quality data on local needs of your Talent Match target group(s) | Strongly | Agree | Neither agree nor disagree | Disagre e | Strongly Disagre e | Don't know | Not applicable | |
|---|----------|-------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Staff with sufficient skills to deliver Talent Match activities/services | | | | | | | | |
| Retained staff | | | | | | | | |
| The ability to reach your Talent Match target group(s) | | | | | | | | |
| Good links with employers | | | | | | | | |
| Support from the Big Lottery Fund | | | | | | | | |
| Support from the Core Partnership team | | | | | | | | |
| Good delivery arrangements with the Talent Match Partnership | | | | | | | | |
| Cross-Partnership agreement on delivery approach | | | | | | | | |
| Successful involvement of young people | | | | | | | | |
| The right number of young people involved | | | | | | | | |

| een affected by funding cuts to otr arts of your organisation | let 🔵 | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------|--|----------|---------------|
| good links with Jobcentre Plus | | | | | | | |
| lood links with other labour narket/employability programmes | | | | | | | |
| lease indicate the extent to w evelopment and delivery of y | Greatly | ollowing ership's | factors Talent M | atch Pro | d or cons gramme Seriousl y constr ained | up to r | I the now? |
| hyality of data on local people of year | delivery | | Neutral | | delivery | | icable |
| tuality of data on local needs of yo alent Match target group(s) | | | | | | | |
| taff with sufficient skills | | | | | | | |
| bility to retain staff / staff turnover | | | | | | | |
| ngagement with the Work trogramme | | | | | | | |
| ngagement with Jobcentre Plus | | | | | | | |
| ngagement with other labour mark rogrammes | et. | | | | | | |
| bility to reach your Talent Match arget group(s) | | | | | | | |
| ngagement with employers | | | | | | | |
| elationship with the Big Lottery Fu | nd | | | | | | |
| telationship with the Core artnership team | | | | | | | |
| elivery arrangements across the artnership | | | | | | | |
| evel of cross-Partnership agreeme n delivery approach | nt 🔵 | | | | | | |
| nvolvement of young people | | | | | | | |
| he number of young people involv | ed e | | | | | | |
| unding cuts to other parts of your rganisation | | | | | | | |
| he local economy/labour market | | | | | | | |
| lease list the three main facto | | have <u>ass</u> is questi | | our orga | nisation | in deliv | ery (the |

| ese do not have to be taken from the pre | ve <u>constrained</u> your organisation in delive evious question): |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Section 6: The future | and final comments |
| es your organisation have funding to co gramme? | ntinue any aspects of the Talent Match |
| | |
| Yes | |
| Yes No | |
| No | |
| No o is this funding from? | |
| No is this funding from? The Big Lottery Fund | |
| No is this funding from? The Big Lottery Fund Trusts or charitable Foundations | |
| No is this funding from? The Big Lottery Fund Trusts or charitable Foundations Local authority | |
| No is this funding from? The Big Lettery Fund Trusts or charitable Foundations | g. Clinical Commissioning Group/ |

| ortunity arose | to deliver a simi | lar programme a | again would you | be interested in b | eing invo |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Thank you for your feedback. Please click submit below.